

Semi-commutativity criteria and self-coincidence of elements expressed by vectors properties of n -ary groups

Yu. I. Kulazhenko

Communicated by V. V. Kirichenko

ABSTRACT. In this paper new criteria of semi-commutativity and results on self-coincidence of an arbitrary point P in the terms of properties of vectors of n -ary groups are obtained.

It is well known that the most important tool for investigation of n -ary groups and for development of their applications is the concept of semi-commutativity. In this connection see for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

In the paper [9] P.A. Alexandrov introduced the concept of self-coincidence for geometric figures. He used this concept to construct different types of groups.

The results by S.A. Rusakov [5] and P.S. Alexandrov [9] allowed to introduce the concept of self-coincidence of points (of elements) of an n -ary group G .

Finding of new semi-commutativity criteria of n -ary groups as well as the study of self-coincidence of some elements of geometric figures constructed on the basis of an n -ary group is a very topical problem in our opinion.

The results presented in the paper are connected with the above-mentioned field of investigation. It should be noted that vector equalities which are presented in our theorems not only describe semi-commutativity criteria of an n -ary group $G = \langle X, ()^{[-2]} \rangle$ but establish the fact of self-coincidence of an arbitrary point $p \in X$ as well.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N15.

Key words and phrases: *Semi-abelian n -ary group, self-coincidence of points (elements), symmetric points, a parallelogram of an n -ary group, vectors of n -ary groups.*

Recall that an n -ary group G is said to be semi-abelian if the equality

$$(x_1x_2^{n-1}x_n) = (x_nx_2^{n-1}x_1)$$

holds for any sequence $x_i^n \in X^n$. Further for the elements of an n -ary group $G = \langle X, (\cdot)^{[-2]} \rangle$ we use the term a *point*.

A point

$$S_a(b) = (ab^{[-2]}{}^{2n-4} b a)$$

is called a point that is symmetric with a point b relatively a point a . The sequence of k elements of X is called a k -gon of G . A tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ of an n -ary group G is called a parallelogram of G if

$$(ab^{[-2]}{}^{2n-4} b c) = d.$$

Let's say that a point $p \in X$ self-coincides if there is a sequence of symmetries of this point relatively other points of X , in the result of which this point maps into itself.

An ordered pair $\langle a, b \rangle$ of points $a, b \in X$ is called a directed segment of an n -ary group G and it is denoted by \overline{ab} .

If $a, b, c, d \in X$, then the directed segments \overline{ab} and \overline{cd} are called to be equal and they write $\overline{ab} = \overline{cd}$ if the tetragon $\langle a, c, d, b \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G .

Let \overline{V} be the set of all directed segments of an n -ary group G . According to Proposition 1 in the paper [5] the binary relation $=$ on the set \overline{V} is a relation of equivalence and partitions the set V into disjoint classes. The class generated by the directed segment \overline{ab} has the following form:

$$K(\overline{ab}) = \{\overline{uv} \mid \overline{uv} \in \overline{V}, \overline{uv} = \overline{ab}\}.$$

A vector \overrightarrow{ab} of an n -ary group G is a class $K(\overline{ab})$, i.e. $\overrightarrow{ab} = K(\overline{ab})$.

Other notations, definitions and results used in the paper can be found in the following papers [4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

Now let us introduce the obtained results.

Theorem 1. *Let a, b, c, p be arbitrary points of X and $d \in X$ be a point such that the tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G . An n -ary group G is semi-abelian if and only if the following equality holds:*

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a p)c} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p))d)} = \overrightarrow{0}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. 1. Let G be a semi-abelian n -ary group. Let's establish the validity of (1).

Taking into account Theorem 8 in [8], Definition 4 in [5], Proposition 1 in [8], Equality 3.28 in [4], and the fact that for any $x \in X$ sequences

$x^{[-2]^{2n-4}}$ and $x^{[-2]^{2n-4}}x$ are neutral $2(n-1)$ -sequences the following can be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p}a + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} &= \overrightarrow{p(a(S_a(p))^{[-2]^{2n-4}} S_a(p) \dots b)} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p(a(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a) \dots b)} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p(aa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)} = \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)}. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (2) one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p}a + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)} + \overrightarrow{S_b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a) c} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p((pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)(S_b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} S_b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a) \dots c))} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p((a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)(b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a) \dots b)^{[-2]^{2n-4}})} \\ &= \overrightarrow{(b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a) \dots b) \dots c} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p((pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)^{[-2]^{2n-4}})} \\ &= \overrightarrow{(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b) \dots c} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c)} = \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c)}. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Now taking into account Definition 4 in [5], Equality 3.28 in [4], Proposition 1 in [8] we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(S_b(S_a(p))) &= S_c(S_b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a)) = \\ &= S_c(b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^a) \dots b) = \\ &= S_c(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b) = \\ &= (c(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b) \dots c) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c). \quad (4)$$

Taking into consideration (3) and (4), the fact that the tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G and that G is a semi-abelian group one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))d} &= \\ &= \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)} + \overrightarrow{(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)d} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p((ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)^{[-2]}} \\ &\quad \underbrace{\overrightarrow{(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (b c) \dots d)}_{2n-4}} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b cc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c d)} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c d)} = \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c))} = \\ &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a bc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b a))} = \overrightarrow{pp} = \overrightarrow{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the equality (1).

2. Now we suppose that (1) is true. We shall prove that G is semi-abelian.

From (1) we have

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} = -\overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))d}$$

and so

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} = \overrightarrow{dS_c(S_b(S_a(p)))}.$$

Therefore from (3) and (4) we have

$$\overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)} = \overrightarrow{d(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)}. \quad (5)$$

From (5) on the basis of Definition 2 in [5] we conclude that the tetragon

$$\langle p, d, (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c), (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) \rangle$$

is a parallelogram of G , so the equality

$$(pd^{[-2]^{2n-4}} d (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c). \quad (6)$$

holds.

Since by the hypothesis the tetragon $\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ is a parallelogram of G the equality

$$(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) = d. \tag{7}$$

is valid.

In view of (7) we obtain from (6) that

$$(p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)^{[-2]} \underbrace{(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) \dots (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)}_{2n-4}) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)$$

and hence

$$(pc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c)) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c).$$

Therefore

$$(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b cp^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c),$$

so

$$(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b cc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c).$$

Then

$$(cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b a) = (ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b c). \tag{8}$$

Since a, b, c are arbitrary points of X then on the basis of Proposition 4 in [7] and (8) we conclude that G is a semi-abelian n -ary group.

The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. *Let a, b, c, d, p be arbitrary points of X . An n -ary group G is semi-abelian if and only if the following equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p}a + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)}b + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))}c + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))}d + \\ + \overrightarrow{S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))}(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c b) + \\ + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c b)}}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))))) = \vec{0}. \tag{9} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1. Let G be a semi-abelian n -ary group. We shall show that Equality (9) is true. In order to prove this we sequentially summarize vectors mentioned in (9) taking into account Theorem 8 in [8], Definition 4 in [5], Equality 3.28 in [4], Proposition 1 in [8], and the fact that for

any $x \in X$ the sequences $x^{[-2]^{2n-4}}x$ and $xx^{[-2]^{2n-4}}$ are neutral $2(n-1)$ -sequences.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} &= \overrightarrow{p(a(S_a(p))^{[-2]^{2n-4}} S_a(p) \dots)} = \\
 &= \overrightarrow{p(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a) \dots b} = \\
 &= \overrightarrow{p(aa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)} = \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)}; \\
 \overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} = \\
 &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)} + \overrightarrow{(b(S_a(p))^{[-2]^{2n-4}} S_a(p) \dots b)c} = \\
 &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)} + \overrightarrow{(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)c} = \\
 &= \overrightarrow{p((pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)^{[-2]^{2n-4}})} \\
 &= \overrightarrow{(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b) \dots c} = \\
 &= \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c)} = \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c)}. \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Definition 4 in [5], Equality 3.28 in [4], and Proposition 1 in [8] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_c(S_b(S_a(p))) &= S_c(S_b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a)) = \\
 &= S_c(b(ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a) \dots b) = \\
 &= S_c(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b) = \\
 &= (c(ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b)^{[-2]^{2n-4}} (ba^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} pa^{[-2]^{2n-4}} a^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b) \dots c) = \\
 &= (cb^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ap^{[-2]^{2n-4}} p^{[-2]^{2n-4}} ab^{[-2]^{2n-4}} b^{[-2]^{2n-4}} c). \quad (11)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence in view of (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)\vec{b}} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))\vec{c}} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))\vec{d}} = \\
& \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c) + (cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)d} = \\
& \overrightarrow{p((ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)^{[-2]}} \\
& \overrightarrow{\underbrace{(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c) \dots d}_{2n-4}} = \\
& \overrightarrow{p(ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b cc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c ba^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d)} = \\
& \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c d)}. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into consideration (11) and the previous arguments we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))) = \\
& \overrightarrow{(d(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c)^{[-2]}} \\
& \overrightarrow{\underbrace{(cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b c) \dots d}_{2n-4}} = \\
& \overrightarrow{(dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c ba^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d)}. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (12) and (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)\vec{b}} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))\vec{c}} + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))\vec{d}} + \\
& \overrightarrow{S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))(dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c b)} = \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c d) +} \\
& \overrightarrow{(dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c ba^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d)(dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c b)} = \\
& \overrightarrow{p((pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d)(dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c ba^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d)^{[-2]}} \\
& \overrightarrow{\underbrace{(dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c ba^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d) \dots (dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c b)}_{2n-4}} = \\
& \overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]}^{2n-4} a bc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c dd^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d cb^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b ap^{[-2]}^{2n-4} p} \\
& \overrightarrow{ab^{[-2]}^{2n-4} b cd^{[-2]}^{2n-4} d dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c b)} = \overrightarrow{p\vec{a}}. \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

But G is semi-abelian and so in view of (13) we have

$$S_{(dc^{[-2]}^{2n-4} c b)}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ((dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b)(dc^{[-2]2n-4}ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)^{[-2]}) \\
&\quad \underbrace{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d) \dots (dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b)}_{2n-4} = \\
&= ((bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)d^{[-2]2n-4}cb^{[-2]2n-4}ap^{[-2]2n-4}ab^{[-2]2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d \\
&\quad dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b) = (ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4a). \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally using (14) and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_a(p)b} + \overrightarrow{S_b(S_a(p))c} + \\
&\quad + \overrightarrow{S_c(S_b(S_a(p)))d} + \overrightarrow{S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)} + \\
&\quad + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))a)} = \overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4a)a} = \\
&\quad = p(a(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4a)^{[-2]} \underbrace{(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4a) \dots a}_{2n-4}) = \\
&\quad = p(aa^{[-2]2n-4}a^4pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^4a) = \overrightarrow{pp} = \overrightarrow{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have proved that (9) holds.

2. Suppose that (9) is true. We shall prove that G is a semi-abelian group.

Since in the previous arguments the property of semi-commutativity of G was used only in (15) we can conclude that (14) holds.

From (9) we obtain

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)}(S_d(S_c(S_b(S_a(p))))a)} = \overrightarrow{0}$$

so taking into account (13) we have

$$\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{S_{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}b)}(dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)a} = \overrightarrow{0},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{pa} + \overrightarrow{((dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b)(dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d)^{[-2]})} \\
&\quad \underbrace{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4ba^{[-2]2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}d) \dots (dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b)}_{2n-4} a = \overrightarrow{0},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{((dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b)d^{[-2]2n-4}d^{2n-4}cb^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4)} \\ \overrightarrow{ab^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d^{2n-4}dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b)a} = \vec{0},$$

or

$$\overrightarrow{p\vec{a}} + \overrightarrow{((dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b)d^{[-2]2n-4}d^{2n-4}cb^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4a)} = \vec{0}.$$

Then taking into consideration Theorem 8 in [8] we have

$$\overrightarrow{p(a(dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4bd^{[-2]2n-4}d^{2n-4}cb^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4a)^{[-2]})} \\ \overrightarrow{(dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4bd^{[-2]2n-4}d^{2n-4}cb^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4a) \dots a} = \vec{0},$$

so

$$\overrightarrow{p(aa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4}pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4db^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d^4a)} = \vec{0},$$

and hence

$$\overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4db^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d^4a)} = \vec{0}.$$

Since $\vec{0} = \overrightarrow{p\vec{p}}$ holds for any $p \in X$, then

$$\overrightarrow{p(pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4db^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d^4a)} = \overrightarrow{p\vec{p}}.$$

From this equality it can be concluded that

$$(pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4}bc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4db^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d^4a) = p.$$

Let's multiply both parts of this equality by the expression $ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4$ from the left, and by the expression $a^{[-2]2n-4}dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b$ from the right. Then

$$(ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4}(bc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4d)b^{[-2]2n-4}b^{2n-4}cd^{[-2]2n-4}d^4aa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4} \\ dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b) = (ap^{[-2]2n-4}p^4pa^{[-2]2n-4}a^{2n-4}dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b).$$

Hence taking into account the neutrality of the sequences $x^{[-2]2n-4}x$ and $xx^{[-2]2n-4}$ for any $x \in X$ we obtain

$$(bc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4d) = (dc^{[-2]2n-4}c^4b). \quad (16)$$

Taking into consideration the arbitrariness of points $b, c, d \in X$ on the basis of Proposition 4 in [7] and (16) we conclude that G is a semi-abelian n -ary group.

The proof is complete.

References

- [1] W. Dornte. Untersuchungn uber einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff // Math. Z. — 1928. — Bd. 29. — S. 1–19.
- [2] H. Prüfer. Theorie der abelshen Gruppen I. Grundeigenschaften, Math. Z. — 1924. — Bd. 20. — S. 165–187.
- [3] E.L. Post. Polyadic groups // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. — 1940. — Vol. 48, N 2. — P. 208–350.
- [4] S.A. Rusakov. Algebraic n -ary systems: Sylow theory of n -ary groups. Minsk: Belaruskaya navuka, 1992. — 264 p.
- [5] S.A. Rusakov. Some applications of the theory of n -ary groups. Minsk: Belaruskaya navuka, 1998. — P.182.
- [6] Yu.I. Kulazhenko. Congruence motion of elements of n -ary groups. Some questions of algebra and applied mathematics: Compilation of scientific proceedings / Ed. by T.I. Vasiljeva, Gomel, 2002. — P. 66–71.
- [7] Yu.I. Kulazhenko. Construction of figures of affine geometry on n -ary groups // Questions of algebra and applied mathematics: Compilation of scientific proceedings / Ed. by S.A. Rusakov, Gomel, 1995. — P. 65–82.
- [8] Yu.I. Kulazhenko. Parallelograms' geometry / Questions of algebra and applied mathematics: Compilation of scientific proceedings / Ed. by S.A. Rusakov, Gomel, 1995. — P. 47–64.
- [9] P.S. Alexandrov. Introduction into the theory of groups. M.: Nauka, 1980. — 144 p.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Yu. I. Kulazhenko, Sovetskaya St., 104
246019 Gomel
Belarus
E-Mail: kulazhenko@gsu.by

Received by the editors: 28.03.2010
and in final form 11.11.2010.