

On a semigroup of closed connected partial homeomorphisms of the unit interval with a fixed point

Ivan Chuchman

Communicated by M. Ya. Komarnytskyj

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the semigroup $\mathcal{IC}(I, [a])$ ($\mathcal{IO}(I, [a])$) of closed (open) connected partial homeomorphisms of the unit interval I with a fixed point $a \in I$. We describe left and right ideals of $\mathcal{IC}(I, [0])$ and the Green's relations on $\mathcal{IC}(I, [0])$. We show that the semigroup $\mathcal{IC}(I, [0])$ is bisimple and every non-trivial congruence on $\mathcal{IC}(I, [0])$ is a group congruence. Also we prove that the semigroup $\mathcal{IC}(I, [0])$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathcal{IO}(I, [0])$ and describe the structure of a semigroup $\mathcal{IJ}(I, [0]) = \mathcal{IC}(I, [0]) \sqcup \mathcal{IO}(I, [0])$. As a corollary we get structures of semigroups $\mathcal{IC}(I, [a])$ and $\mathcal{IO}(I, [a])$ for an interior point $a \in I$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Furthermore we shall follow the terminology of [2] and [6]. For a semigroup S we denote the semigroup S with the adjoined unit by S^1 (see [2]).

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique element $x^{-1} \in S$ (called the *inverse* of x) such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then the function $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called *inversion*.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20, 54H15, 20M18.

Key words and phrases: *Semigroup of bijective partial transformations, symmetric inverse semigroup, semigroup of homeomorphisms, group congruence, bisimple semigroup.*

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of idempotents in S by $E(S)$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to $E(S)$ a *band* (or the *band of S*). If the band $E(S)$ is a non-empty subset of S , then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \leq on $E(S)$: $e \leq f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on $E(S)$. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents. A semilattice E is called *linearly ordered* or a *chain* if its natural order is a linear order. Let E be a semilattice and $e \in E$. We denote $\downarrow e = \{f \in E \mid f \leq e\}$ and $\uparrow e = \{f \in E \mid e \leq f\}$.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote by \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{J} , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{H} the Green relations on S (see [2]):

$$\begin{aligned} a\mathcal{R}b &\text{ if and only if } aS^1 = bS^1; \\ a\mathcal{L}b &\text{ if and only if } S^1a = S^1b; \\ a\mathcal{J}b &\text{ if and only if } S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1; \\ \mathcal{D} &= \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}; \\ \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

A semigroup S is called *simple* if S does not contain proper two-sided ideals and *bisimple* if S has only one \mathcal{D} -class.

A congruence \mathfrak{C} on a semigroup S is called *non-trivial* if \mathfrak{C} is distinct from universal and identity congruence on S , and *group* if the quotient semigroup S/\mathfrak{C} is a group.

The bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by elements p and q subject only to the condition $pq = 1$. The distinct elements of $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ are exhibited in the following useful array:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & p & p^2 & p^3 & \cdots \\ q & qp & qp^2 & qp^3 & \cdots \\ q^2 & q^2p & q^2p^2 & q^2p^3 & \cdots \\ q^3 & q^3p & q^3p^2 & q^3p^3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array}$$

The bicyclic semigroup is bisimple and every one of its congruences is either trivial or a group congruence. Moreover, every non-annihilating homomorphism h of the bicyclic semigroup is either an isomorphism or the image of $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ under h is a cyclic group (see [2, Corollary 1.32]). The bicyclic semigroup plays an important role in algebraic theory of semigroups and in the theory of topological semigroups. For example the well-known Andersen's result [1] states that a (0-)simple semigroup

is completely (0-)simple if and only if it does not contain the bicyclic semigroup.

Let \mathcal{S}_X denote the set of all partial one-to-one transformations of a non-empty set X together with the following semigroup operation:

$$x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta \quad \text{if} \quad x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \{y \in \text{dom} \alpha \mid y\alpha \in \text{dom} \beta\},$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_X$. The semigroup \mathcal{S}_X is called the *symmetric inverse semigroup* over the set X (see [2, Section 1.9]). The symmetric inverse semigroup was introduced by Wagner [10] and it plays a major role in the theory of semigroups.

Let I be an interval $[0, 1]$ with the usual topology. A partial map $\alpha: I \rightarrow I$ is called:

- *closed*, if $\text{dom} \alpha$ and $\text{ran} \alpha$ are closed subsets in I ;
- *open*, if $\text{dom} \alpha$ and $\text{ran} \alpha$ are open subsets in I ;
- *convex*, if $\text{dom} \alpha$ and $\text{ran} \alpha$ are convex non-singleton subsets in I ;
- *monotone*, if $x_1 \leq x_2$ implies $(x_1)\alpha \leq (x_2)\alpha$, for all $x_1, x_2 \in \text{dom} \alpha$;
- a *local homeomorphism*, if the restriction $\alpha|_{\text{dom} \alpha}: \text{dom} \alpha \rightarrow \text{ran} \alpha$ is a homeomorphism.

We fix an arbitrary $a \in I$. Hereafter we shall denote by:

- $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [a])$ the semigroup of all closed connected partial homeomorphisms α such that $\text{Int}_I(\text{dom} \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ and $(a)\alpha = a$;
- $\mathfrak{IO}(I, [a])$ the semigroup of all open connected partial homeomorphisms α such that $(a)\alpha = a$;
- $\mathfrak{H}(I)$ the group of all homeomorphisms of I ;
- $\mathfrak{H}^\uparrow(I)$ the group of all monotone homeomorphisms of I ;
- \mathbb{I} the identity map from I onto I .

Remark 1. We observe that for every $a \in I$ the semigroups $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [a])$ and $\mathfrak{IO}(I, [a])$ are inverse subsemigroups of the symmetric inverse semigroup \mathcal{S}_I over the set I .

In [3, 4] Gluskin studied the semigroup S of homeomorphic transformations of the unit interval. He described all ideals, homomorphisms and automorphisms of the semigroup S and congruence-free subsemigroups of S . This studies was continued in [7] by Shneperman. In [9] Shneperman

described the structure of the semigroup of homeomorphisms of a simple arc. In the paper [8] he studied a semigroup $G(X)$ of all continuous transformations of a closed subset X of the real line.

In our paper we study the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [a])$ ($\mathfrak{ID}(I, [a])$) of closed (open) connected partial homeomorphisms of the unit interval I with a fixed point $a \in I$. We describe left and right ideals of $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and the Green's relations on $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. We show that the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is bisimple and every non-trivial congruence on $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is a group congruence. Also we prove that the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ and describe the structure of a semigroup $\mathfrak{IJ}(I, [0]) = \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \sqcup \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$. As a corollary we get structures of semigroups $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [a])$ and $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [a])$ for an interior point $a \in I$.

2. On the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$

Proposition 1. *The following conditions hold:*

- (i) every element of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ ($\mathfrak{ID}(I, [1])$) is a monotone partial map;
- (ii) the semigroups $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [1])$ are isomorphic;
- (iii) $\max\{\text{dom } \alpha\}$ exists for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$;
- (iv) $\sup\{\text{dom } \alpha\}$ exists for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$;
- (v) $(0)\alpha = 0$ and $(1)\alpha = 1$ for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}^\rightarrow(I)$.

Proof. Statements (i), (iii), (iv) and (v) follow from elementary properties of real-valued continuous functions.

(ii) A homomorphism $i: \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{IC}(I, [1])$ we define by the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha)i &= \beta, \quad \text{where } \text{dom } \beta = \{1 - x \mid x \in \text{dom } \alpha\}, \\ &\quad \text{ran } \beta = \{1 - x \mid x \in \text{ran } \alpha\}, \text{ and} \\ (a)\beta &= 1 - (1 - a)\alpha \text{ for all } a \in \text{dom } \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Simple verifications show that such defined map i is an isomorphism from the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ onto the semigroup $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [1])$. \square

Proposition 2. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) an element α of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is an idempotent if and only if $(x)\alpha = x$ for every $x \in \text{dom } \alpha$;

- (ii) If $\varepsilon, \iota \in E(\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]))$, then $\varepsilon \leq \iota$ if and only if $\text{dom } \varepsilon \subseteq \text{dom } \iota$;
- (iii) The semilattice $E(\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]))$ is isomorphic to the semilattice $((0, 1], \min)$ under the mapping $(\varepsilon)h = \max\{\text{dom } \varepsilon\}$;
- (iv) $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$ in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ if and only if $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$;
- (v) $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$ in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ if and only if $\text{ran } \alpha = \text{ran } \beta$;
- (vi) $\alpha \mathcal{H} \beta$ in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ if and only if $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$ and $\text{ran } \alpha = \text{ran } \beta$;
- (vii) for every distinct idempotents $\varepsilon, \iota \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ there exists an element α of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ such that $\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} = \varepsilon$ and $\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha = \iota$;
- (viii) $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$, and hence the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is bisimple;
- (ix) $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$, and hence the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is simple;
- (x) a subset \mathcal{L} is a left ideal of $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ if and only if there exists $a \in (0, 1]$ such that either $\mathcal{L} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{ran } \alpha \subseteq [0, a)\}$ or $\mathcal{L} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{ran } \alpha \subseteq [0, a]\}$;
- (xi) a subset \mathcal{R} is a right ideal of $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ if and only if there exists $a \in (0, 1]$ such that either $\mathcal{R} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{dom } \alpha \subseteq [0, a)\}$ or $\mathcal{R} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{dom } \alpha \subseteq [0, a]\}$.

Proof. Statements (i), (ii) and (iii) are trivial and they follow from the definition of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$.

(iv) Let be $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ such that $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$. Since $\alpha \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) = \beta \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is an inverse semigroup, Theorem 1.17 [2] implies that

$$\alpha \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) = \alpha \alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) = \beta \beta^{-1} \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]),$$

and hence we have that $\alpha \alpha^{-1} = \beta \beta^{-1}$. Therefore we get that $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$.

Conversely, let be $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ such that $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$. Then $\alpha \alpha^{-1} = \beta \beta^{-1}$. Since $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is an inverse semigroup, Theorem 1.17 [2] implies that

$$\alpha \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) = \alpha \alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) = \beta \beta^{-1} \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) = \beta \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]),$$

and hence $\alpha \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) = \beta \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$.

The proof of statement (v) is similar to (iv).

Statement (vi) follows from (iv) and (v).

(vii) We fix arbitrary distinct idempotents ε and ι in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. If $d_\varepsilon = \max\{\text{dom } \varepsilon\}$ and $d_\iota = \max\{\text{dom } \iota\}$, then $d_\varepsilon \neq 0$, $d_\iota \neq 0$, and ε and ι are identity maps of intervals $[0, d_\varepsilon]$ and $[0, d_\iota]$, respectively. We define a partial map $\alpha: I \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\text{dom } \alpha = [0, d_\varepsilon], \quad \text{ran } \alpha = [0, d_\iota] \quad \text{and} \quad (x)\alpha = \frac{d_\iota}{d_\varepsilon} \cdot x, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom } \alpha.$$

Then we have that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$, $\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} = \varepsilon$ and $\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha = \iota$.

(viii) Statement (vii) and Lemma 1.1 from [5] imply that $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is a bisimple semigroup.

Since $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, statement (viii) implies assertion (ix).

(x) The semigroup operation on $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ implies that the sets $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{ran } \alpha \subseteq [0, a]\}$ and $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{ran } \alpha \subseteq [0, a]\}$ are left ideals in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$, for every $a \in (0, 1]$.

Suppose that \mathcal{L} is an arbitrary left ideal of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. We fix any $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$. Then statements (i), (ii) and (v) imply that the left ideal \mathcal{L} contains all $\beta \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\text{ran } \beta \subseteq \text{ran } \alpha$. We put

$$A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}} \text{ran } \alpha$$

and let $a = \sup A$. If there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\sup \text{ran } \alpha = a$ then statement (v) implies that $\mathcal{L} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{ran } \alpha \subseteq [0, a]\}$. In other case we have that statement (v) implies that

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \mid \text{ran } \alpha \subseteq [0, a]\}.$$

The proof of statement (xi) is similar to statement (x). □

Definitions of the group $\mathfrak{H}^\rightarrow(I)$ and the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ imply the following:

Proposition 3. *The group of units of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is isomorphic to (i.e., coincides with) the group $\mathfrak{H}^\rightarrow(I)$.*

Proposition 2.20 of [2] states that every two subgroup which lie in some \mathcal{D} -class are isomorphic, and hence Proposition 3 implies the following:

Corollary 1. *Every maximal subgroup of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{H}^\rightarrow(I)$.*

Later we need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let \mathfrak{R} is an arbitrary congruence on a semilattice E and let a and b be elements of the semilattice E such that $a\mathfrak{R}b$. If $a \leq b$ then $a\mathfrak{R}c$ for all $c \in E$ such that $a \leq c \leq b$.*

The proof of the lemma follows from the definition of a congruence on a semilattice.

Lemma 2. *For arbitrary distinct idempotents α and β of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ there exists a subsemigroup \mathcal{C} in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ such that $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{C} is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\beta \leq \alpha$ in $E(\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]))$. We define partial maps $\gamma, \delta: I \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\text{dom } \gamma = [0, d_\alpha], \quad \text{ran } \gamma = [0, d_\beta] \quad \text{and} \quad (x)\gamma = \frac{d_\beta}{d_\alpha} \cdot x, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom } \gamma,$$

and

$$\text{dom } \delta = [0, d_\beta], \quad \text{ran } \delta = [0, d_\alpha] \quad \text{and} \quad (x)\delta = \frac{d_\alpha}{d_\beta} \cdot x, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom } \delta,$$

where $d_\alpha = \max\{\text{dom } \alpha\}$ and $d_\beta = \max\{\text{dom } \beta\}$. Then we have that

$$\alpha \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot \alpha = \gamma, \quad \alpha \cdot \delta = \delta \cdot \alpha = \delta, \quad \gamma \cdot \delta = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \cdot \gamma = \beta \neq \alpha.$$

Hence by Lemma 1.31 from [2] we get that a subsemigroup in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ which is generated by elements γ and δ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$. \square

Theorem 1. *Every non-trivial congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is a group congruence.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{R} is a non-trivial congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. Then there exist distinct elements α and β in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ such that $\alpha\mathfrak{R}\beta$. We consider the following three cases:

- (i) α and β are idempotents in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$;
- (ii) α and β are not \mathcal{H} -equivalent in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$;
- (iii) α and β are \mathcal{H} -equivalent in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$.

Suppose case (i) holds and without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $E(\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]))$. We define a partial map $\rho: I \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\text{dom } \rho = \text{dom } \beta, \quad \text{ran } \rho = I \quad \text{and} \quad (x)\rho = \frac{1}{d_\beta} \cdot x, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom } \rho,$$

where $d_\beta = \max\{\text{dom } \beta\}$. Then we have that $\rho^{-1} \cdot \beta \cdot \rho = \mathbb{I}$ and hence by Proposition 1(i) the element $\alpha_\beta = \rho^{-1} \cdot \alpha \cdot \rho$ is an idempotent of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. Obviously, $\alpha_\beta \leq \mathbb{I}$ in $E(\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]))$, $\alpha_\beta \neq \mathbb{I}$ and $\alpha_\beta \mathfrak{K} \mathbb{I}$. Then by Lemma 2 there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ such that

$$\mathbb{I} \cdot \gamma = \gamma \cdot \mathbb{I} = \gamma, \quad \mathbb{I} \cdot \delta = \delta \cdot \mathbb{I} = \delta, \quad \gamma \cdot \delta = \mathbb{I} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \cdot \gamma = \alpha_\beta \neq \mathbb{I},$$

and a subsemigroup $\mathcal{C}\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle$ in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ which is generated by elements γ and δ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$. Since by Corollary 1.32 from [2] every non-trivial congruence on the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is a group congruence on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ we get that all idempotents of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle$ are \mathfrak{K} -equivalent. Also by Lemma 1.31 from [2] we get that every idempotent of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle$ has a form

$$\delta^n \cdot \gamma^n = (\underbrace{\delta \cdots \delta}_{n\text{-times}}) \cdot (\underbrace{\gamma \cdots \gamma}_{n\text{-times}}), \quad \text{where } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and hence we get that $\text{dom}(\delta^n \cdot \gamma^n) = [0, d^n]$, where $d = \max\{\text{dom } \alpha_\beta\}$. This implies that for every idempotent $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ there exists a positive integer n such that $\delta^n \cdot \gamma^n \leq \varepsilon$, and hence by Lemma 1 we get that all idempotents of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ are \mathfrak{K} -equivalent. Then Lemma 7.34 and Theorem 7.36 from [2] imply that the quotient semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])/\mathfrak{K}$ is a group.

Suppose case (ii) holds: α and β are not \mathcal{H} -equivalent in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. Since $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is an inverse semigroup we get that either $\alpha\alpha^{-1} \neq \beta\beta^{-1}$ or $\alpha^{-1}\alpha \neq \beta^{-1}\beta$. Suppose inequality $\alpha\alpha^{-1} \neq \beta\beta^{-1}$ holds. Since $\alpha\mathfrak{K}\beta$ and $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is an inverse semigroup, Lemma III.1.1 from [6] implies that $(\alpha\alpha^{-1})\mathfrak{K}(\beta\beta^{-1})$, and hence by case (i) we get that \mathfrak{K} is a group congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. In the case $\alpha^{-1}\alpha \neq \beta^{-1}\beta$ the proof is similar.

Suppose case (iii) holds: α and β are \mathcal{H} -equivalent in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. Then Theorem 2.3 of [2] implies that without loss of generality we can assume that α and β are elements of the group of units $H(\mathbb{I})$ of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. Therefore we get that $\mathbb{I} = \alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1}$ and $\gamma = \beta \cdot \alpha^{-1} \in H(\mathbb{I})$ are \mathcal{H} -equivalent distinct elements in $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$. Since $\mathbb{I} \neq \gamma$ we get that there exists $x_\gamma \in I$ such that $(x_\gamma)\gamma \neq x_\gamma$. We suppose $(x_\gamma)\gamma > x_\gamma$. We define a partial map $\delta: I \rightarrow I$ as follows:

$$\text{dom } \delta = [0, (x_\gamma)\gamma], \quad \text{ran } \delta = [0, x_\gamma] \quad \text{and} \quad (x)\rho = \frac{x_\gamma}{(x_\gamma)\gamma} \cdot x,$$

for all $x \in \text{dom } \delta$. Then we have that $\mathbb{I} \cdot \delta = \delta$ and hence we get that $(\gamma \cdot \delta)\mathfrak{K}\delta$. Since $\text{dom}(\gamma \cdot \delta) = \text{dom } \gamma \neq \text{dom } \delta$, Proposition 1(vi) implies

that the elements $\gamma \cdot \delta$ and δ are not \mathcal{H} -equivalent. Therefore case (ii) holds, and hence \mathfrak{K} is a group congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$.

In the case $(x_\gamma)\gamma < x_\gamma$ the proof that \mathfrak{K} is a group congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is similar. This completes the proof of our theorem. \square

Proposition 4. *The semigroups $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We define a map $\mathbf{i}: \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ by the following way: for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ we put $(\alpha)\mathbf{i}$ is the restriction of α on the set $[0, a_\alpha] \setminus \{a_\alpha\}$, where $a_\alpha = \max\{\text{dom } \alpha\}$, with $\text{dom}((\alpha)\mathbf{i}) = \text{dom } \alpha \setminus \{a_\alpha\}$ and $\text{ran}((\alpha)\mathbf{i}) = \text{ran } \alpha \setminus \{(a_\alpha)\alpha\}$. Simple verifications show that such defined map $\mathbf{i}: \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ is an isomorphism. \square

3. On the semigroup $\mathfrak{IJ}(I, [0])$

We put $\mathfrak{IJ}(I, [0]) = \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \sqcup \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$.

Later we shall denote elements of the semigroup $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ by $\bar{\alpha}$ and put $\mathring{\alpha} = (\bar{\alpha})\mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$, where $\mathbf{i}: \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ is the isomorphism which is defined in the proof of Proposition 4. Since the semigroups $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ are inverse subsemigroups of the symmetric inverse semigroup \mathcal{S}_I over the set I and by Proposition 1 all elements of the semigroups $\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ are monotone partial maps, the semigroup operation in \mathcal{S}_I implies that for $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and $\mathring{\beta} \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ we have that

$$\bar{\alpha} \cdot \mathring{\beta} = \begin{cases} \bar{\gamma}, & \text{if } \text{ran } \bar{\alpha} \subset \text{dom } \mathring{\beta}; \\ \mathring{\gamma}, & \text{if } \text{dom } \mathring{\beta} \subset \text{ran } \bar{\alpha} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathring{\beta} \cdot \bar{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \mathring{\delta}, & \text{if } \text{ran } \mathring{\beta} \subset \text{dom } \bar{\alpha}; \\ \bar{\delta}, & \text{if } \text{dom } \bar{\alpha} \subset \text{ran } \mathring{\beta}, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta} \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ (i.e., $\mathring{\gamma} = \mathring{\alpha} \cdot \mathring{\beta} \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$) and $\bar{\delta} = \bar{\beta} \cdot \bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ (i.e., $\mathring{\delta} = \mathring{\beta} \cdot \mathring{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$). Hence we get the following:

Proposition 5. *$\mathfrak{IJ}(I, [0])$ is an inverse semigroup.*

Given two partially ordered sets (A, \leq_A) and (B, \leq_B) , the *lexicographical order* \leq_{lex} on the Cartesian product $A \times B$ is defined as follows:

$$(a, b) \leq_{\text{lex}} (a', b') \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a <_A a' \quad \text{or} \quad (a = a' \text{ and } b \leq_B b').$$

In this case we shall say that the partially ordered set $(A \times B, \leq_{\text{lex}})$ is the *lexicographic product* of partially ordered sets (A, \leq_A) and (B, \leq_B) and it is denoted by $A \times_{\text{lex}} B$. We observe that a lexicographic order of two linearly ordered sets is a linearly ordered set.

Hereafter for every $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ and $\overset{\circ}{\beta} \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ we denote $d_\alpha = \max\{\text{dom } \bar{\alpha}\}$, $r_\alpha = \max\{\text{ran } \bar{\alpha}\}$, $d_\beta = \sup\{\text{dom } \overset{\circ}{\beta}\}$ and $r_\beta = \sup\{\text{ran } \overset{\circ}{\beta}\}$. Obviously we have that $d_\alpha = \sup\{\text{dom } \overset{\circ}{\alpha}\}$ and $r_\alpha = \sup\{\text{ran } \overset{\circ}{\alpha}\}$ for any $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$.

Proposition 6. *The following conditions hold:*

(i) $E(\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])) = E(\mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])) \cup E(\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0]))$.

(ii) *If $\bar{\alpha}, \overset{\circ}{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \overset{\circ}{\beta} \in E(\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0]))$, then*

(a) $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \leq \bar{\alpha}$;

(b) $\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{\beta}$ if and only if $d_\alpha \leq d_\beta$ ($r_\alpha \leq r_\beta$);

(c) $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \leq \overset{\circ}{\beta}$ if and only if $d_\alpha \leq d_\beta$ ($r_\alpha \leq r_\beta$);

(d) $\bar{\alpha} \leq \overset{\circ}{\beta}$ if and only if $d_\alpha < d_\beta$ ($r_\alpha < r_\beta$); and

(e) $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \leq \bar{\beta}$ if and only if $d_\alpha \leq d_\beta$ ($r_\alpha \leq r_\beta$).

(iii) *The semilattice $E(\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0]))$ is isomorphic to the lexicographic product $(0; 1] \times_{\text{lex}} \{0; 1\}$ of the semilattices $((0; 1], \min)$ and $(\{0; 1\}, \min)$ under the mapping $(\bar{\alpha})\mathbf{i} = (d_\alpha; 1)$ and $(\overset{\circ}{\alpha})\mathbf{i} = (d_\alpha; 0)$, and hence $E(\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0]))$ is a linearly ordered semilattice.*

(iv) *The elements α and β of the semigroup $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ are \mathcal{R} -equivalent in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ provides either $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ or $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ and moreover, we have that*

(a) $\bar{\alpha} \mathcal{R} \bar{\beta}$ in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ if and only if $d_\alpha = d_\beta$; and

(b) $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \mathcal{R} \overset{\circ}{\beta}$ in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ if and only if $d_\alpha = d_\beta$.

(v) *The elements α and β of the semigroup $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ are \mathcal{L} -equivalent in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ provides either $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ or $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ and moreover, we have that*

(a) $\bar{\alpha} \mathcal{L} \bar{\beta}$ in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ if and only if $r_\alpha = r_\beta$; and

(b) $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \mathcal{L} \overset{\circ}{\beta}$ in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ if and only if $r_\alpha = r_\beta$.

(vi) *The elements α and β of the semigroup $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ are \mathcal{H} -equivalent in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ provides either $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ or $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ and moreover, we have that*

(a) $\bar{\alpha} \mathcal{H} \bar{\beta}$ in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ if and only if $d_\alpha = d_\beta$ and $r_\alpha = r_\beta$; and

(b) $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \mathcal{H} \overset{\circ}{\beta}$ in $\mathfrak{ID}(I, [0])$ if and only if $d_\alpha = d_\beta$ and $r_\alpha = r_\beta$.

(vii) $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$ is a simple semigroup.

(viii) The semigroup $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$ has only two distinct \mathcal{D} -classes: that are inverse subsemigroups $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ and $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0])$.

Proof. Statements (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from the definition of the semigroup $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$ and Proposition 5.

Proofs of statements (iv), (v) and (vi) follow from Proposition 5 and Theorem 1.17 [2] and are similar to statements (iv), (v) and (vi) of Proposition 2.

(vii) We shall show that $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0]) \cdot \alpha \cdot \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0]) = \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$ for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$. We fix arbitrary $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$ and show that there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$ such that $\gamma \cdot \alpha \cdot \delta = \beta$.

We consider the following four cases:

$$(1) \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]) \text{ and } \beta = \bar{\beta} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]);$$

$$(2) \alpha = \bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]) \text{ and } \beta = \overset{\circ}{\beta} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0]);$$

$$(3) \alpha = \overset{\circ}{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0]) \text{ and } \beta = \bar{\beta} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]);$$

$$(4) \alpha = \overset{\circ}{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0]) \text{ and } \beta = \overset{\circ}{\beta} \in \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0]).$$

By Λ_a^b we denote a linear partial map from I into I with $\text{dom } \Lambda_a^b = [0; a]$ and $\text{ran } \Lambda_a^b = [0; b]$, and defined by the formula: $(x)\Lambda_a^b = \frac{b}{a} \cdot x$, for $x \in \text{dom } \Lambda_a^b$.

We put:

$$\gamma = \Lambda_{d_\beta}^{d_\alpha} \text{ and } \delta = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \Lambda_{d_\alpha}^{d_\beta} \cdot \beta \text{ in case (1);}$$

$$\gamma = \Lambda_{d_\beta}^{d_\alpha} \text{ and } \delta = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \Lambda_{d_\alpha}^{d_\beta} \cdot \beta \text{ in case (2);}$$

$$\gamma = \Lambda_{d_\beta}^a \text{ and } \delta = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \Lambda_a^{d_\beta} \cdot \beta, \text{ where } 0 < a < d_\alpha, \text{ in case (3);}$$

$$\gamma = \Lambda_{d_\beta}^{d_\alpha} \text{ and } \delta = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \Lambda_{d_\alpha}^{d_\beta} \cdot \beta \text{ in case (4).}$$

Elementary verifications show that $\gamma \cdot \alpha \cdot \delta = \beta$, and this completes the proof of assertion (vii).

Statement (viii) follows from statements (iv) and (v). \square

On the semigroup $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{J}(I, [0])$ we determine a relation \sim_{id} by the following way. Let $\mathbf{i}: \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0])$ be a map which is defined in the proof of Proposition 4. We put

$$\alpha \sim_{\text{id}} \beta \text{ if and only if } \alpha = \beta \text{ or } (\alpha)\mathbf{id} = \beta \text{ or } (\beta)\mathbf{id} = \alpha,$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$. Simple verifications show that \sim_{id} is an equivalence relation on the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$.

The following proposition immediately follows from Proposition 1(i) and the definition of the relation \sim_{id} on the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$:

Proposition 7. *Let α and β are elements of the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$. Then $\alpha \sim_{\text{id}} \beta$ in $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $d_\alpha = d_\beta$;
- (ii) $r_\alpha = r_\beta$;
- (iii) $(x)\alpha = (x)\beta$ for every $x \in [0, d_\alpha)$;
- (iv) $(y)\alpha = (y)\beta$ for every $y \in [0, d_\beta)$.

Proposition 8. *The relation \sim_{id} is a congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$. Moreover, the quotient semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])/\sim_{\text{id}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$.*

Proof. We fix arbitrary $\bar{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \hat{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$. It is complete to show that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta}) \sim_{\text{id}} (\hat{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta})$;
- (ii) $(\bar{\beta} \cdot \bar{\alpha}) \sim_{\text{id}} (\bar{\beta} \cdot \hat{\alpha})$;
- (iii) $(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\gamma}) \sim_{\text{id}} (\hat{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\gamma})$;
- (iv) $(\hat{\gamma} \cdot \bar{\alpha}) \sim_{\text{id}} (\hat{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\alpha})$.

Suppose case (i) holds. If $d_\beta \leq r_\alpha$, then Proposition 1(i) implies that $(x)(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta}) = (x)(\hat{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta})$ for all $x \in [0, (d_\beta)(\bar{\alpha})^{-1})$, and hence by Proposition 7 we get that $(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta}) \sim_{\text{id}} (\hat{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta})$. If $d_\beta > r_\alpha$, then Proposition 1(i) implies that $(x)(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta}) = (x)(\hat{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta})$ for all $x \in [0, d_\alpha)$, and hence by Proposition 7 we get that $(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta}) \sim_{\text{id}} (\hat{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\beta})$.

In cases (ii), (iii) and (iv) the proofs are similar. Hence \sim_{id} is a congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$.

Let $\Phi_{\sim_{\text{id}}} : \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ a natural homomorphism which is generated by the congruence \sim_{id} . Since the restriction $\Phi_{\sim_{\text{id}}}|_{\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])} : \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ of the natural homomorphism $\Phi_{\sim_{\text{id}}} : \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ is an identity map we conclude that the semigroup $(\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0]))\Phi_{\sim_{\text{id}}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$. \square

Theorem 2. *Let \mathfrak{K} be a non-trivial congruence on the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$. Then the quotient semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])/\mathfrak{K}$ is either a group or $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])/\mathfrak{K}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$.*

Proof. Since the subsemigroup of idempotents of the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$ is linearly ordered we have that similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1 imply that there exist distinct idempotents ε and ι in $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$ such that $\varepsilon\mathfrak{R}\iota$ and $\varepsilon \leq \iota$. If the set $(\varepsilon, \iota) = \{v \in E(\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])) \mid \varepsilon < v < \iota\}$ is non-empty, then Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 imply that the quotient semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])/\mathfrak{R}$ is inverse and it contains only one idempotent, and hence by Lemma II.1.10 from [6] we get that $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])/\mathfrak{R}$ is a group. Otherwise Proposition 7(ii) implies that $\varepsilon = \hat{\alpha}$ and $\iota = \bar{\alpha}$ for some idempotents $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0])$ and $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$.

Since by Proposition 2(ix) the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ is simple we get that for every $\bar{\beta} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ there exist $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\delta} \in \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ such that $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\gamma} \cdot \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\delta}$. Since $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ is an inverse semigroup and all elements of $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ are monotone partial maps of the unit interval I we conclude that that

$$\bar{\beta} = \bar{\beta} \cdot \bar{\beta}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\gamma} \cdot \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\delta} \cdot \bar{\beta}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\beta},$$

and hence for elements

$$\bar{\gamma}_\beta = \bar{\beta} \cdot \bar{\beta}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\gamma} \cdot \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\delta}_\beta = \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\delta} \cdot \bar{\beta}^{-1} \cdot \bar{\beta},$$

of the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\beta} &= \bar{\gamma}_\beta \cdot \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\delta}_\beta, & \text{dom } \bar{\beta} &= \text{dom } \bar{\gamma}_\beta, & \text{ran } \bar{\gamma}_\beta &= \text{dom } \bar{\alpha}, & \text{ran } \bar{\alpha} &= \text{dom } \bar{\delta}_\beta \\ & & \text{and} & & \text{ran } \bar{\beta} &= \text{ran } \bar{\delta}_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, since all elements of the semigroups $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$ and $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{D}(I, [0])$ are monotone partial maps of I we get that $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\gamma}_\beta \cdot \hat{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\delta}_\beta$ and hence $\bar{\beta}\mathfrak{R}\hat{\beta}$. This implies that the congruence \mathfrak{R} on the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$ coincides with the congruence \sim_{id} on $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])$. Then Proposition 8 implies that the quotient semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}(I, [0])/\mathfrak{R}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0])$. \square

By S_2 we denote the cyclic group of order 2.

Theorem 3. *For arbitrary $a, b \in (0, 1)$ the semigroups $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [a])$ and $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [b])$ are isomorphic. Moreover, for every $a \in (0, 1)$ the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [a])$ is isomorphic to the direct product*

$$S_2 \times \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]) \times \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [0]).$$

Proof. We fix an arbitrary $a \in (0, 1)$. Obviously, the semigroup $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [a])$ is isomorphic to the direct product $S_2 \times \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}^\rightarrow(I, [a])$, where $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}^\rightarrow(I, [a])$ is a subsemigroup of $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{C}(I, [a])$ which consists of monotone partial maps of the unit interval I .

By $\mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I \sqcup I, [0])$ we denote the semigroup of all monotone convex closed partial local homeomorphisms α of the interval $[-1, 1]$ such that $(0)\alpha = 0$ and $0 \in \text{Int}_{[-1,1]}(\text{dom } \alpha)$. We define a map $\mathbf{i}: \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I, [a]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I \sqcup I, [0])$ by the following way. For an arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I, [a])$ we determine a partial map $\beta = (\alpha)\mathbf{i} \in \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I \sqcup I, [0])$ as follows:

$$(i) \text{ dom } \beta = \left[\frac{d_m(\alpha) - a}{a}, \frac{d_M(\alpha) - a}{1 - a} \right], \text{ where } d_m(\alpha) = \min\{\text{dom } \alpha\} \\ \text{ and } d_M(\alpha) = \max\{\text{dom } \alpha\};$$

$$(ii) \text{ ran } \beta = \left[\frac{r_m(\alpha) - a}{a}, \frac{r_M(\alpha) - a}{1 - a} \right], \text{ where } r_m(\alpha) = \min\{\text{ran } \alpha\} \text{ and } \\ r_M(\alpha) = \max\{\text{ran } \alpha\}; \text{ and}$$

$$(iii) (x)\beta = \begin{cases} (ax + a)\alpha, & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ ((1 - a)x + a)\alpha, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}, \text{ for all } x \in \text{dom } \beta.$$

Simple verifications show that such defined map $\mathbf{i}: \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I, [a]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I \sqcup I, [0])$ is an isomorphism. This completes the first part of the proof of the theorem.

Next we define a map $\mathbf{j}: \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I \sqcup I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \times \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ by the following way. For an arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I \sqcup I, [0])$ we determine a pair of partial maps $(\beta, \gamma) = (\alpha)\mathbf{j} \in \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \times \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ as follows:

$$(i) \text{ dom } \beta = \text{dom } \alpha \cap [0, 1] \text{ and } \text{ran } \beta = \text{ran } \alpha \cap [0, 1];$$

$$(ii) \text{ dom } \gamma = \{-x \mid x \in \text{dom } \alpha \cap [0, 1]\} \text{ and } \text{ran } \gamma = \{-x \mid x \in \text{ran } \alpha \cap [0, 1]\};$$

$$(iii) (x)\beta = (x)\alpha \text{ for } x \in \text{dom } \beta; \text{ and}$$

$$(iv) (x)\gamma = -(x)\alpha \text{ for } x \in \text{dom } \gamma.$$

Simple verifications show that such defined map $\mathbf{j}: \mathfrak{IC}^\nearrow(I \sqcup I, [0]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0]) \times \mathfrak{IC}(I, [0])$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 3 implies the following:

Corollary 2. *For arbitrary $a, b \in (0, 1)$ the semigroups $\mathfrak{II}(I, [a])$ and $\mathfrak{II}(I, [b])$ are isomorphic. Moreover, for every $a \in (0, 1)$ the semigroup $\mathfrak{II}(I, [a])$ is isomorphic to the direct product*

$$S_2 \times \mathfrak{II}(I, [0]) \times \mathfrak{II}(I, [0]).$$

References

- [1] O. Andersen, *Ein Bericht über die Struktur abstrakter Halbgruppen*, PhD Thesis, Hamburg, 1952.
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961; Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [3] L. M. Gluskin, *The semi-group of homeomorphic mappings of an interval*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.), **49(91)**:1 (1959), 13–28 (in Russian).
- [4] L. M. Gluskin, *On some semigroup of continuous functions*, Dopovidi AN URSSR no. 5 (1960), 582–585 (in Ukrainian).
- [5] W. D. Munn, *Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups*, Quart. J. Math. **17**:1 (1966), 151–159.
- [6] M. Petrich, *Inverse Semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [7] L. B. Shneperman, *Semigroups of continuous transformations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **144**:3 (1962), 509–511 (in Russian).
- [8] L. B. Shneperman, *Semigroups of continuous transformations of closed sets of the number axis*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., no. **6** (1965), 166–175 (in Russian).
- [9] L. B. Shneperman, *The semigroups of the homeomorphisms of a simple arc*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., no. **2** (1966), 127–136 (in Russian).
- [10] V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian).

CONTACT INFORMATION

Ivan Chuchman Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Ivan Franko Lviv National University, Universytetska 1, Lviv, 79000, Ukraine
E-Mail: chuchman_i@mail.ru

Received by the editors: 22.09.2011
and in final form 22.09.2011.