

Preradicals and submodules

Yuriy Maturin

Communicated by M. Ya. Komarnytskyj

ABSTRACT. Some collections of submodules of a module defined by certain conditions are studied.

Throughout the whole text, all rings are considered to be associative with unit $1 \neq 0$ and all modules are left unitary.

Let R be a ring. The category of left R -modules will be denoted by $R\text{-Mod}$. We shall write $N \leq M$ if N is a submodule of M .

Let $a \in R, I \subseteq R$. Put

$$(I : a) = \{x \in R \mid xa \in I\}.$$

Let M be an R -module. Let $End(M)$ be the set of all endomorphisms of the R -module M . A submodule N of M is said to be fully invariant in case

$$\forall f \in End(M) : f(N) \leq N.$$

Let $N \leq M$ and $f \in End(M)$. Put

$$(N : f)_M = \{x \in M \mid f(x) \in N\}.$$

It is clear that $(N : f)_M \leq M$. Put

$$End(M)_N = \{f \in End(M) \mid f(M) \subseteq N\}.$$

Let $F(M)$ be some non-empty collection of submodules of a left R -module M .

We shall consider the following conditions:

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D90, 16D10.

Key words and phrases: ring, module, preradical.

- C1. $L \in F(M), L \leq N \leq M \Rightarrow N \in F(M)$;
 C2. $L \in F(M), f \in \text{End}(M) \Rightarrow (L : f)_M \in F(M)$;
 C3. $N, L \in F(M) \Rightarrow N \cap L \in F(M)$;
 C4. $N \in F(M), N \in \text{Gen}(M), L \leq N \leq M \wedge \forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N : (L : g)_M \in F(M) \Rightarrow L \in F(M)$;
 C5. $N, K \in F(M), N \in \text{Gen}(M) \Rightarrow t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) \in F(M)$.

Remark 1. Let F be a non-empty set of left ideals of R .

- (1) Then F is a preradical filter if and only if F satisfies C1, C2, C3.
 (2) Then F is a radical filter if and only if F satisfies C1, C2, C4.

Proof. (1) (\Rightarrow) Let F be a preradical filter.

(C1) This is clear.

(C2) Let $f \in \text{End}(R)$. Then there exists $a \in R$ such that

$$\forall r \in R : f(r) = ra.$$

Therefore for $L \in F$ we obtain $(L : f)_R = \{x \in R \mid f(x) \in L\} = \{x \in R \mid xa \in L\} = (L : a)$. Since F is a preradical filter, $(L : f)_R = (L : a) \in F$.

(C3) This is clear.

(\Leftarrow) Let F satisfy (C1), (C2), (C3). Then it satisfies (a2) and (a3) [1, p.36].

(a1) (See [1, p.34]. Let $a \in R$ and $L \in F$. Define $f : R \rightarrow R$, where $\forall x \in R : f(x) = xa$.

It is easy to see that $f \in \text{End}(R)$. Obtain $(L : f)_R = (L : a)$. But $(L : f)_R \in F$. Hence $(L : a) \in F$.

(2) (\Rightarrow) Let F be a radical filter.

(C1),(C2) This is clear (see (1) (\Rightarrow)).

(C4) Let N be a left ideal of R and $g \in \text{End}(R)_N$. Then there exists $a \in R$ such that

$$\forall r \in R : g(r) = ra.$$

It follows from this that $a = 1a = g(1) \in N$. Taking into account (a5) (see [1, p.36]), it is obvious that F satisfies C4.

(\Leftarrow) Let F satisfy C1, C2, C4. Then it is easy to see that it satisfies (a1) and (a2).

(a5) Let $N \in F, L \leq N \leq R \wedge \forall a \in N : (L : a) \in F$. Then $N \in \text{Gen}(R)$ because R is a generator. Let $g \in \text{End}(R)_N$. It means that there exists $a \in N$ such that $\forall r \in R : g(r) = ra$. But $(L : g)_R = (L : a)$. Therefore $(L : g)_R \in F$. By (C4), $L \in F$. Hence F satisfies (a5). \square

Remark 2. Let M and H be left R -modules and $q : M \rightarrow H$ be an isomorphism, U be a non-empty set of submodules of M and $q(U) = \{q(L) \mid L \in U\}$. Then $q(U)$ satisfies (Ci) if and only if U satisfies (Ci) for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Proof. It is suffice to verify that $q(U)$ satisfies (Ci) if U satisfies (Ci) for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

(1) Let U satisfy (C1). Consider $L \in q(U), L \leq N \leq H$. Hence $q^{-1}(L) \in U, q^{-1}(L) \leq q^{-1}(N) \leq M$. By (C1), $q^{-1}(N) \in U$. Whence $N = q(q^{-1}(N)) \in q(U)$.

(2) Let U satisfy (C2). Consider $L \in q(U), f \in \text{End}(H)$. Hence $q^{-1}fq \in \text{End}(M), q^{-1}(L) \in U$. By (C2), $q^{-1}(L : f)_H = (q^{-1}(L) : q^{-1}fq)_M \in U$. Hence $(L : f)_H \in q(U)$.

(3) Let U satisfy (C3). Consider $N, L \in q(U)$. Hence $q^{-1}(N), q^{-1}(L) \in U$. By (C3), $q^{-1}(N \cap L) = q^{-1}(N) \cap q^{-1}(L) \in U$. Therefore $N \cap L \in q(U)$.

(4) Let U satisfy (C4) and let $N \in q(U), N \in \text{Gen}(H), L \leq N \leq H \wedge \forall g \in \text{End}(H)_N : (L : g)_H \in q(U)$. Then $q^{-1}(N) \in U, q^{-1}(N) \in \text{Gen}(M), q^{-1}(L) \leq q^{-1}(N) \leq M$. Let $f \in \text{End}(M)_{q^{-1}(N)}$. Hence $qfq^{-1} \in \text{End}(H)_N$. Since $\forall g \in \text{End}(H)_N : (L : g)_H \in q(U)$,

$$q(q^{-1}(L) : f)_M = (L : qfq^{-1})_H \in q(U).$$

Hence $(q^{-1}(L) : f)_M \in U$. By (C4), $q^{-1}(L) \in U$. Hence $L \in q(U)$.

(5) Let U satisfy (C5) and $N, K \in q(U), N \in \text{Gen}(H)$. Hence

$$q^{-1}(N), q^{-1}(K) \in U, q^{-1}(N) \in \text{Gen}(M).$$

By (C5), $t_{(q^{-1}(K) \subseteq M)}(q^{-1}(N)) \in U$. Hence $q(t_{(q^{-1}(K) \subseteq M)}(q^{-1}(N))) \in q(U)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} q(t_{(q^{-1}(K) \subseteq M)}(q^{-1}(N))) &= q \left(\sum_{g \in \text{End}(M)_{q^{-1}(N)}} g(q^{-1}(K)) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{g \in \text{End}(M)_{q^{-1}(N)}} q(g(q^{-1}(K))) = \sum_{g \in \text{End}(M)_{q^{-1}(N)}} (qgq^{-1})(K) = \\ &= \sum_{f \in \text{End}(H)_N} f(K) = t_{(K \subseteq H)}(N). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 3. Let M be a left R -module. Then the sets

$$\{M\} \text{ and } \{L | L \leq M\}$$

satisfy (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4), (C5).

Proposition 1. *If $F(M)$ satisfies (C1), (C2), (C4), then it satisfies (C5).*

Proof. Let $N, K \in F(M), N \in \text{Gen}(M)$. Then

$$t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) = \sum_{g \in \text{End}(M)_N} g(K)$$

(see [3, p.40]).

It is easy to see that

$$\forall h \in \text{End}(M)_N : K \leq (t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) : h)_M.$$

By (C1), it follows from this that

$$\forall h \in \text{End}(M)_N : (t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) : h) \in F(M).$$

It is obvious that $t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) \leq N$. Therefore

$$N \in F(M), N \in \text{Gen}(M), t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) \leq N \leq M \wedge$$

$$\wedge \forall h \in \text{End}(M)_N : (t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) : h)_M \in F(M).$$

Taking into consideration (C4), it follows from this that $t_{(K \subseteq M)}(N) \in F(M)$. □

Put

$$\ker F(M) := \bigcap_{L \in F(M)} L.$$

Proposition 2. *If $F(M)$ satisfies (C2), then $\ker F(M)$ is a fully invariant submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $f \in \text{End}(M), m \in \ker F(M)$. By (C2),

$$\bigcap_{L \in F(M)} L \subseteq \bigcap_{L \in F(M)} (L : f)_M.$$

Then $m \in \bigcap_{L \in F(M)} (L : f)_M$. Hence $f(m) \in \bigcap_{L \in F(M)} L$. Thus

$$f(\ker F(M)) \subseteq \ker F(M).$$

Let M be a left R -module. Let r be a preradical in $R\text{-Mod}$. Put

$$F_r(M) = \{L \leq M \mid M/L \in T(r)\},$$

where $T(r) = \{M \in R\text{-Mod} \mid r(M) = M\}$ (see [1, 3]). □

Lemma 1. *Let $N \leq M$ and $f \in \text{End}(M)$. Then $f(M) \cap N = f((N : f)_M)$ and $f(M)/(f(M) \cap N) \cong M/(N : f)_M$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $f(M) \cap N = f((N : f)_M)$. Let

$$g : \begin{cases} M/(N : f)_M \rightarrow f(M)/(f(M) \cap N); \\ m + (N : f)_M \mapsto f(m) + f(M) \cap N. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 + (N : f)_M = m_2 + (N : f)_M &\Rightarrow m_1 - m_2 \in (N : f)_M \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow f(m_1 - m_2) \in f(M) \cap N &\Rightarrow f(m_1) + f(M) \cap N = f(m_2) + f(M) \cap N, \end{aligned}$$

the correspondence g is a mapping.

It is easy to see that g is an epimorphism.

Let $f(m_1) + f(M) \cap N = f(m_2) + f(M) \cap N$. Then $m_1 - m_2 \in (N : f)_M$. Hence $m_1 + (N : f)_M = m_2 + (N : f)_M$. Thus g is a monomorphism.

Therefore g is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 1. *Let r be a hereditary preradical in $R - \text{Mod}$ and M be a left R -module. Then the system $F_r(M)$ satisfies (C1), (C2), (C3).*

Proof. (C1). Let $L \in F_r(M)$, $L \leq N \leq M$. Since $M/N \cong (M/L)/(N/L)$ [2], $N \in F_r(M)$ because the class $T(r)$ is closed under epimorphic images [3] (see also [1, p.36]).

(C2). Let $L \in F_r(M)$, $f \in \text{End}(M)$. Then $M/L \in T(r)$. Since the class $T(r)$ is closed under submodules, $(f(M) + L)/L \in T(r)$. But $(f(M) + L)/L \cong f(M)/(f(M) \cap L)$ [2]. Hence $f(M)/(f(M) \cap L) \in T(r)$. Since $f(M)/(f(M) \cap L) \cong M/(L : f)_M$ (See Lemma 1), $(L : f)_M \in F_r(M)$.

(C3). Let $N, L \in F_r(M)$. Then $M/N, M/L \in T(r)$. Hence $M/N \oplus M/L \in T(r)$ because the class $T(r)$ is closed under direct sums [1, 3].

Consider the homomorphism $w : \begin{cases} M \rightarrow M/N \oplus M/L, \\ m \mapsto (m + N, m + L). \end{cases}$

Then $\text{im}(w) \in T(r)$ because $T(r)$ is closed under submodules [1, 3].

It is clear that $\ker(w) = N \cap L$. But $\text{im}(w) \cong M/\ker(w)$ [2]. Therefore $N \cap L \in F_r(M)$ (see also [1, p.36]). \square

Theorem 2. *Let r be a hereditary radical in $R - \text{Mod}$ and M be a left R -module. Then the system $F_r(M)$ satisfies (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4).*

Proof. Taking into account Theorem 1, we shall prove only (C4).

Let

$$N \in F_r(M), N \in \text{Gen}(M), L \leq N \leq M \wedge$$

$$\wedge \forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N : (L : g)_M \in F_r(M).$$

By Lemma 1,

$$\forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N : (g(M) + L)/L \cong M/(L : g)_M.$$

It follows from $\forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N : (L : g)_M \in F_r(M)$ that

$$\forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N : M/(L : g)_M \in T(r).$$

Therefore

$$\forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N : (g(M) + L)/L \in T(r).$$

Since the class $T(r)$ is closed under direct sums and factor modules [1, 3],

$$\sum_{g \in \text{End}(M)_N} (g(M) + L)/L \in T(r).$$

Since $N \in \text{Gen}(M)$,

$$\sum_{g \in \text{End}(M)_N} g(M) = N$$

(see [2]).

$$\text{Thus } N/L = (N + L)/L = \sum_{g \in \text{End}(M)_N} (g(M) + L)/L \in T(r).$$

Since the class $T(r)$ is closed under extensions [1, 3], taking into account that $N/L \in T(r)$, $(R/L)/(N/L) \cong R/N \in T(r)$, we have that $R/L \in T(r)$.

Thus $L \in F_r(M)$. □

Corollary 1. *Let r be a hereditary radical in $R - \text{Mod}$ and M be a left R -module. Then the system $F_r(M)$ satisfies (C5).*

Proof. By Theorem 2, Proposition 1. □

Corollary 2. *Let r be a hereditary preradical in $R - \text{Mod}$ and M be a left R -module. Then $\ker F_r(M)$ is a fully invariant submodule of M .*

Proof. By Theorem 1, Proposition 2. □

Proposition 3. *Let M be a left R -module and K be a fully invariant submodule of M . Then $U = \{L | K \leq L \leq M\}$ satisfies (C1), (C2), (C3).*

Proof. (C1) This is clear.

(C2) Let $L \in U$, $f \in \text{End}(M)$. Since K is a fully invariant submodule of M , $f(K) \leq K \leq L$. Therefore $K \leq (L : f)_M$. By (C1), $(L : f)_M \in U$.

(C3) This is clear. □

Theorem 3. *Let M be a left R -module and K be a fully invariant submodule of M such that $t_{(K \subseteq M)}(K) = K$. Then $U = \{L | K \leq L \leq M\}$ satisfies (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4).*

Proof. By Proposition 3, U satisfies (C1), (C2), (C3).

(C4) Let

$$N \in U \wedge L \leq N \leq M \wedge (\forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N : (L : g)_M \in U)$$

and k be an arbitrary element of K . Since $t_{(K \subseteq M)}(K) = K$,

$$k = p_1(k_1) + p_2(k_2) + \dots + p_s(k_s),$$

where $s \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s \in \text{End}(M)_K$, $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \in K$. Since $K \leq N$, $\text{End}(M)_K \leq \text{End}(M)_N$. Thus $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s \in \text{End}(M)_N$. Now we obtain that $\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} : (L : p_j)_M \in U$. Hence $\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} : p_j(K) \leq L$. It means that $\forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\} : p_j(k_j) \in L$. Taking this into consideration, we have

$$k = p_1(k_1) + p_2(k_2) + \dots + p_s(k_s) \in L.$$

Therefore $K \leq L$. It follows from this that $L \in U$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let F be a field and V be a vector space over F with $\dim_F V < \infty$. If $U \neq \{V\}$ is a non-empty set of subspaces of V satisfying (C1), (C2), (C3), then $U = \{L \mid L \leq V\}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2, $\ker U$ is a fully invariant subspace of V . It is easy to see that every fully invariant subspace of V is either $\{0\}$ or V . Since $U \neq \{V\}$, $\ker U = \{0\}$. Let $P = \{\dim_F \left(\bigcap_{L \in D} L \right) \mid D \subseteq U \& |D| < \infty\}$. Hence $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Therefore there exists $t = \min P \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. It follows from this that there exists $D_0 \subseteq U$ such that $|D_0| < \infty$ and $\dim_F \left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right) = t$. Hence

$$\forall B \in U : t \leq \dim_F \left(\left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right) \cap B \right) \leq \dim_F \left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right) = t.$$

Hence $\forall B \in U : \dim_F \left(\left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right) \cap B \right) = \dim_F \left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right) \&$

$\& \left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right) \cap B \leq \bigcap_{L \in D_0} L$. It follows from this that $\forall B \in U : \left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right) \cap$

$\cap B = \left(\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \right)$. Then we obtain $\forall B \in U : \bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \subseteq B$. It means

that $\bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \subseteq \ker U$. But $\ker U \subseteq \bigcap_{L \in D_0} L$. Therefore $\ker U = \bigcap_{L \in D_0} L$. Now we have that $\{0\} = \bigcap_{L \in D_0} L$. Taking into account $|D_0| < \infty$, by (C3), $\{0\} = \bigcap_{L \in D_0} L \in U$. Now apply (C1). \square

Example 1. Let F be a field and V be a vector space over F with $\dim_F V = k_0$ and k be a non-finite cardinal number such that $k \leq k_0$. Then

$$U_k = \{L | L \leq V, \dim_F(V/L) < k\}$$

satisfies (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4), (C5).

Proof. (C1) Let $L \in U_k, L \leq N \leq V$. It is obvious that there exists an epimorphism $\pi : V/L \rightarrow V/N$. Hence $V/L = H \oplus T$ for some subspaces $H \cong V/N, T$ of V/L . It follows from this that $\dim_F(V/N) = \dim_F(H) \leq \dim_F(H \oplus T) = \dim_F(V/L) < k$. Whence $\dim_F(V/N) < k$. Now we obtain $N \in U_k$.

(C2) Let $L \in U_k, f \in \text{End}(V)$. By Lemma 1, $V/(L : f)_V \cong f(V)/(f(V \cap L))$. By Corollary 3.7 (3) [2, p.46], $f(V)/(f(V \cap L)) \cong (f(V) + L)/L$. It follows from this that $V/(L : f)_V \cong (f(V) + L)/L$. Since $(f(V) + L)/L \leq V/L$ & $\dim_F(V/L) < k$, $\dim_F(V/(L : f)_V) < k$. Thus $(L : f)_V \in U_k$.

(C3) Let $L, M \in U_k$. Hence $\dim_F(V/L) < k$ & $\dim_F(V/M) < k$. It is easy to see that

$$\dim_F(V/(L \cap M)) \leq \dim_F(V/L) + \dim_F(V/M).$$

Therefore $\dim_F(V/(L \cap M)) < k + k = k$ [4, p.417]. Thus $L \cap M \in U_k$.

(C4) Let $N \in U_k \wedge L \leq N \leq V \wedge (\forall g \in \text{End}(V)_N : (L : g)_V \in U_k)$. Thus $V = N \oplus W$ for some subspace W . Consider the following homomorphism:

$$g : V \rightarrow V, g(n + w) = n, (n \in N, w \in W).$$

Then, by Lemma 1, $V/(L : g)_V \cong g(V)/(g(V) \cap L) = N/L$. Hence

$$\dim_F(N/L) = \dim_F(V/(L : g)_V) < k.$$

It is obvious that $V/L = N/L \oplus K$ for some subspace K . But $K \cong (V/L)/(N/L) \cong V/N$. Since $N \in U_k$, $\dim_F K = \dim_F(V/N) < k$. Hence $\dim_F(V/L) = \dim_F(N/L) + \dim_F K < k + k = k$. Thus $L \in U_k$.

(C5) Now apply Proposition 1. \square

Definition 1. A non-empty collection $F(M)$ of submodules of a left R -module M satisfying (C1), (C2), (C3) is said to be a (preradical) filter of M .

Example 2. Let M be a left R -module and $f \in \text{End}(M)$ such that $f^n(M)$ is a fully invariant submodule of M for any $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $\{L \leq M \mid \exists n \in \{1, 2, \dots\} : f^n(M) \subseteq L\}$ is a collection satisfying (C1), (C2), (C3), (C4), (C5).

Proof. (C1) This is clear.

(C2) Let $f^n(M) \subseteq L$ and $g \in \text{End}(M)$. Then $g(f^n(M)) \subseteq f^n(M)$. Hence $g(f^n(M)) \subseteq L$. Therefore $f^n(M) \subseteq (L : g)_M$.

(C3) Let $f^n(M) \subseteq L, f^m(M) \subseteq N$, and $n \leq m$. $f^m(M) \subseteq f^n(M)$. Hence $f^m(M) \subseteq L \cap N$.

(C4) Let $f^m(M) \subseteq N, N \in \text{Gen}(M), L \leq N \leq M$, and

$$\forall g \in \text{End}(M)_N \exists n(g) : f^{n(g)}(M) \subseteq (L : g)_M.$$

Then it is easily seen that $f^m \in \text{End}(M)_N$. Put $n_0 := n(f^m)$. Hence $f^{n_0}(M) \subseteq (L : f^m)_M$. Therefore $f^{n_0+m}(M) \subseteq L$. (C5) Apply Proposition 1. \square

References

- [1] A.I. Kashu, *Radicals and torsions in modules*, Chisinau: Stiintsa, 1983. 154 p.
- [2] F.W. Anderson, K.R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer, 1973. 340 p.
- [3] L. Bican, T. Kepka, P. Nemeč, *Rings, modules, and preradicals*, Lect. Notes Appl. Math. 75, New York, Marcell Dekker, 1982. 241 p.
- [4] W. Sierpinski, *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, Warsaw: PWN, 2nd edition, 1965. 492 p.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Yuriy Maturin

Institute of Physics, Mathematics and Computer Science, Drohobych Ivan Franko State Pedagogical University, Stryjska, 3, Drohobych 82100, Lviv Region, Ukraine
E-Mail: yuriy_maturin@hotmail.com
URL: www.drohobych.net/ddpu/

Received by the editors: 03.11.2010
 and in final form 03.11.2010.