

## Classification of the local isometry groups of rooted tree boundaries

Yaroslav Lavrenyuk

*Dedicated to V.I. Sushchansky on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

ABSTRACT. Classification of the groups of local isometries of the rooted tree boundaries is established for transitive groups.

1. The notion of local isometry is defined naturally on a metric space. A bijection is a local isometry if it acts as an isometry in a neighborhood of each point. More precisely, let  $(X_1, d_1)$  and  $(X_2, d_2)$  be metric spaces. A bijection  $\alpha : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is called a *local isometry* if for every  $x \in X_1$  there exists a neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that for every  $x_1, x_2 \in U_x$  we have

$$d_2(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha) = d_1(x_1, x_2).$$

It is clear that the set of local isometries of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is a group.

The group of the local isometries of the boundary of a rooted tree is investigated in [LS], [Lav], [MI]. In particular, in [Lav] it was proved that if the group of the local isometries of the boundary of a locally finite rooted tree is transitive, then it is complete, i.e., all its automorphisms are inner and its center is trivial.

It is known that the full isometry groups of the boundary of a locally finite spherically homogeneous rooted tree are isomorphic if and only if the trees are isometric [Nek, Proposition 2.10.7]. The main result of this work is the analogous assertion for the local isometries.

2. We start with necessary definitions on rooted trees and groups acting on such trees. Let  $T$  be a locally finite rooted tree with the root vertex

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C05, 20E08, 20F28.

**Key words and phrases:** *rooted trees, ultrametrics, local isometry, classification.*

$v_0$ . For every two vertices  $u, v$  of the tree  $T$  ( $u, v \in V(T)$ ) we define the *distance* between  $u$  and  $v$ , written  $d(u, v)$ , to be the length of the shortest path connecting them. For an integer  $n \geq 0$  we define the  $n$ th level (the sphere of the radius  $n$ ) to be the set

$$V_n(T) = \{v \in V(T) : d(v_0, v) = n\}.$$

If the degree of a vertex  $v \in V_n(T)$  depends only on  $n$ , then the tree  $T$  is called *spherically homogeneous*. *Spherical index* of a spherically homogeneous tree  $T$  is the sequence  $\Omega = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ , where  $a_0$  is degree of the root and  $a_n + 1$  is degree of any vertex of  $n$ -th level.

Let  $T$  be a spherically homogeneous rooted tree with the root  $v_0$  and spherical index  $\Omega$ . All such trees are isomorphic to the tree  $T_\Omega$  with the set of vertices equal to the set of all finite sequences  $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$ , where  $i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, a_k\}$  and  $n \geq 0$  is an integer. We also include the empty sequence (corresponding to  $n = 0$ ). Two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are of the form  $(i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}), (i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}, i_n)$ .

An end of a rooted tree is an infinite path starting in the rooted vertex and having no repetitions. We will denote by  $\partial T$  (boundary) the set of all ends of  $T$ .

Let  $\bar{\lambda} = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a strictly decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to zero. We can introduce a natural ultrametric on  $\partial T$  by putting  $\rho(x_1, x_2) = \lambda_n$ , where  $n$  is the length of the maximal common part of the paths  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The topology induced by the metrics  $\rho$  (or for convenience  $\bar{\lambda}$ ) is compact, totally disconnected and has a base of open sets (balls or cylinder sets) of the form  $U_v = \{x \in \partial T \mid v \in x\}$ ,  $v \in V(T)$ . This compact ultrametric space will be denoted by  $(\partial T, \bar{\lambda})$  or simply by  $\partial T$ .

**3.** In this work we study the classification problem of the full local isometry groups of the boundaries of rooted trees. We prove the following theorem.

*Theorem 1.* Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be locally finite rooted trees such that the groups of the local isometries act on their boundaries transitively. The full local isometries groups of  $(\partial T_1, \bar{\mu}_1)$  and  $(\partial T_2, \bar{\mu}_2)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $(\partial T_1, \bar{\lambda}_1)$  and  $(\partial T_2, \bar{\lambda}_2)$  are locally isometric for some metrics  $\bar{\lambda}_1$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_2$ . In other words there are positive integers  $i$  and  $j$ , such that for every natural  $s$  the equality

$$|V_{i+s}(T_1)| = |V_{j+s}(T_2)|$$

holds.

In the work [Lav] a sufficient condition for isomorphism for the local isometry groups was established

*Proposition 2* ([Lav]). If there exist ultrametrics  $\bar{\mu}_1$  and  $\bar{\mu}_2$  such that the spaces  $(\partial T_1, \bar{\mu}_1)$  and  $(\partial T_2, \bar{\mu}_2)$  are locally isometric then their full local isometry groups are isomorphic.

In that work it was also established that the boundary of a rooted tree on which the local isometries act transitively is locally isometric to the boundary of some spherically homogeneous tree.

*Proposition 3* ([Lav]). If the group  $\text{LIsom}(\partial T, \bar{\lambda})$  acts transitively on  $\partial T$  then there is a spherically homogeneous tree  $T_\Omega$  and a sequence  $\bar{\lambda}_\Omega$  such that  $(\partial T, \bar{\lambda})$  and  $(\partial T_\Omega, \bar{\lambda}_\Omega)$  are locally isometric.

Due to Propositions 2 and 3, in order to prove Theorem 1, we only need to show that if the groups of local isometries of the boundaries  $\partial T_1$  and  $\partial T_2$  of a spherically homogeneous tree are isomorphic then  $(\partial T_1, \bar{\lambda}_1)$  and  $(\partial T_2, \bar{\lambda}_2)$  are locally isometric for some metrics  $\bar{\lambda}_1$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_2$ .

**4.** Let us fix some notations. Let  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be spherically homogeneous trees with spherical indices containing no ones. We will denote  $\partial T_i$  by  $X_i$ . We assume that the full local isometry groups of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are isomorphic and  $\phi : \text{LIsom } X_1 \rightarrow \text{LIsom } X_2$  is an isomorphism. By Rubin's theorem [Rub, Corollary 3.13c] there exists a homeomorphism  $h : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that for every  $g \in \text{LIsom } X_1$  the equality  $\phi(g) = hgh^{-1}$  holds. The action of  $\text{LIsom } X_i$  on  $X_i$  is ergodic with respect to the Bernoulli measure. Actually the only probabilistic measure on  $X_i$  with respect to which the action of  $\text{LIsom } X_i$  is ergodic is the Bernoulli measure (see [GNS]). Thus, we can fix the unique probabilistic  $\text{LIsom } X_i$ -invariant measures  $m_i$  on sigma-algebras of Borel subsets of  $X_i$ .

*Lemma 4.* For the homeomorphism  $h$  and the ball  $U_v^1$  ( $v \in V(T_1)$ ) there are positive integers  $l$  and  $k$  such that

$$h(U_v^1) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{v_i}^2,$$

where  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subset V_l(T_2)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $U_v^1$  is compact and balls in  $X_2$  do not intersect or one contains the other, we have what is required.  $\square$

*Lemma 5.* For every ball  $U_v^1$  ( $v \in V_k(T_1)$ ) ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) the equality

$$m_2(h(U_v^1)) = m_1(U_v^1),$$

holds.

*Proof.* The measures  $m_1, m_2$  are probabilistic, i.e.  $m_1(X_1) = m_2(X_2) = 1$ . Since  $m_1$  is an LIso  $X_1$ -invariant measure,

$$m_1(X_1) = m_1 \left( \bigsqcup_{w \in V_k(T_1)} U_w^1 \right) = |V_k(T_1)| m_1(U_v^1).$$

Since every local isometry preserves the measure, and  $\phi(g) = hgh^{-1}$  for all  $g \in \text{LIso } X_1$  we have  $m_2(h(U_u^1)) = m_2(h(U_w^1))$  for any  $u, w \in V_k(T_1)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m_2(X_2) &= m_2(h(X_1)) = m_2 \left( h \left( \bigsqcup_{w \in V_k(T_1)} U_w^1 \right) \right) = \\ &= m_2 \left( \bigsqcup_{w \in V_k(T_1)} h(U_w^1) \right) = |V_k(T_1)| m_2(h(U_v^1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $m_2(h(U_v^1)) = m_1(U_v^1)$ . □

*Lemma 6.* Let  $w \in V_m(T_2)$  and  $v \in V_l(T_1)$  be such that  $h^{-1}(U_w^2) = U_v^1$ . Then  $|V_m(T_2)| = |V_l(T_1)|$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 5 we have  $m_2(U_w^2) = m_1(U_v^1)$ . Therefore

$$|V_m(T_2)| m_2(U_w^2) = m_1(U_v^1) |V_l(T_1)| = 1.$$

Thus we have  $|V_m(T_2)| = |V_l(T_1)|$ . □

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A bijection  $\alpha : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is called a *uniform local isometry* if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$d_2(x_1^\alpha, x_2^\alpha) = d_1(x_1, x_2)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X_1$  such that  $d_1(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ . It is easy to see that for a compact spaces every local isometry is a uniform local isometry.

*Lemma 7.* There exist ultrametrics  $\bar{\mu}_1$  and  $\bar{\mu}_2$  such that the spaces  $(\partial T_1, \bar{\mu}_1)$  and  $(\partial T_2, \bar{\mu}_2)$  are locally isometric if and only if there exist  $i$  and  $j$ , such that for every positive integer  $s$  the equality

$$|V_{i+s}(T_1)| = |V_{j+s}(T_2)|$$

holds.

*Proof.* Obviously, if the equality holds then the spaces  $(\partial T_1, \bar{\mu}_1)$  and  $(\partial T_2, \bar{\mu}_2)$  are locally isometric for some ultrametrics  $\bar{\mu}_1$  and  $\bar{\mu}_2$ . The remaining implication follows from uniformity of local isometry.  $\square$

*Lemma 8.* The spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are locally isometric for some ultrametrics.

*Proof.* Let  $\{v_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset V(T_2)$  be infinite sequence of vertices such that  $v_i \not\prec v_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Let  $h^{-1}(U_{v_i}^2) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{k_i} U_{u_{ij}}^1$ , where  $\{u_{i1}, \dots, u_{ik_i}\} \subset V_{v_i}(T_1)$ .

We also consider infinite sequence of vertices  $\{w_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset V(T_2)$  such that  $w_i \prec v_i$  and  $m_2(U_{w_i}^2) < m_1(U_{u_{ij}}^1)$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . We can assume that  $m_2(U_{w_i}^2) > m_2(U_{w_{i+1}}^2)$ , that is  $w_i$  closer to the root than  $w_{i+1}$ . We suppose that  $h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2)$  is not a ball for all  $i$ . We will show that there exists a sequence  $\{g_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \text{Isom } X_1$  such that

1.  $g_i$  acts trivially on  $X_1 \setminus h^{-1}(U_{v_i}^2)$ .
2.  $\phi(g_i)(U_{w_i}^2) = hg_i h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2)$  is not a ball.

There exist only two possibilities for  $h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2)$ :

1. There exist  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  ( $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq k_i$ ) such that  $h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2) \cap U_{u_{ij_s}}^1 \neq \emptyset$  ( $s = 1, 2$ ).
2. There exists  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k_i$ ) such that  $h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2) \subsetneq U_{u_{ij}}^1$ .

In the first case we choose  $g_i$  as follows:  $g_i$  acts trivially on  $X_1 \setminus h^{-1}(U_{v_i}^2)$  and on  $U_{u_{ij_1}}^1$  and move some points of  $U_{u_{ij_2}}^1$  in such a way that  $g_i(U_{u_{ij_2}}^1) = U_{u_{ij_2}}^1$  and  $g_i(h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2) \cap U_{u_{ij_2}}^1) \neq h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2) \cap U_{u_{ij_2}}^1$ . Since  $h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2) \cap U_{u_{ij_2}}^1$  is strictly contained in  $U_{u_{ij_2}}^1$ , we have that there exists  $g_i$  with the required properties.

In the second case we choose  $g_i$  as follows:  $g_i$  acts trivially on  $X_1 \setminus h^{-1}(U_{v_i}^2)$  and stabilizes  $U_{u_{ij}}^1$  and  $g_i(h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2)) \neq h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2)$ . Since  $h^{-1}(U_{w_i}^2)$  is strictly contained in  $U_{u_{ij}}^1$ , we have that there exists  $g_i$  with required properties.

Since  $h^{-1}(U_{v_i}^2) \cap h^{-1}(U_{v_j}^2) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  we have that the infinite product  $g_1 g_2 g_3 \dots$  defines an isometry  $g$  of the metric space  $X_1$ . Thus  $\phi(g)$  is a local isometry of  $X_2$ . Therefore images of the balls with "small" radii are balls for the mapping  $\phi(g)$ . That is, there is a positive integer  $r$ , such that for every  $t > r$  and every vertex  $v \in V_t(T_2)$  there exists  $w \in V(T_2)$  such that

$$\phi(g)(U_v^2) = U_w^2. \quad (0.1)$$

By the construction,  $\phi(g_i)(U_{w_i}^2)$  is not a ball for any positive integer  $i$ . Hence  $\phi(g)(U_{w_{r+1}}^2)$  is not a ball either. Since  $w_{r+1}$  is in the level with number greater than  $r$ , we get a contradiction with (0.1). Thus our assumption is not true. So for any  $n > r$  the image  $h^{-1}(U_{w_n}^2)$  is a ball. Let  $m$  be such that  $w_n$  is in  $V_m(T_2)$ . By Lemma 6 we have that  $|V_m(T_2)| = |V_l(T_1)|$  for some positive integer  $l$ .

Suppose that there exists an infinite increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{l_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that for every  $i$  and  $m$  the inequality  $|V_{l_i}(T_2)| \neq |V_m(T_1)|$  holds. We can consider a sequence  $\{u_j, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset V(T_2)$  such that for every positive integer  $j$  the conditions  $u_j \prec w_j$  and  $u_j \in V_k(T_2)$  hold for  $k \in \{l_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since  $m_2(U_{u_i}^1) < m_2(U_{w_i}^2) < m_1(U_{u_{i+1}}^1)$  for all  $i$ , by the supposition and by Lemma 5, we have that  $h(U_{u_i}^1)$  is not a ball. Therefore we have a contradiction with what we proved above. Thus for every  $m$  greater than some  $n$  the equality  $|V_m(T_2)| = |V_l(T_1)|$  holds for some positive integer  $l$ . Since  $h$  is a homeomorphism and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism the converse statement is true: for every  $l$  greater than some  $k$  the equality  $|V_l(T_1)| = |V_m(T_2)|$  holds for some positive integer  $m$ . Therefore there exist  $i_0$  and  $j_0$  such that for every natural  $s$  the following equality holds:

$$|V_{i_0+s}(T_1)| = |V_{j_0+s}(T_2)|.$$

So the spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are locally isometric for some metrics.  $\square$

Now the proof of Theorem 1 follows from Lemmas 7, 8 and Propositions 2 and 3.

*Corollary 9.* If the ultrametrics on  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are such that these spaces are locally isometric, then the homeomorphism  $h$  is a local isometry.

*Proof.* Let  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  be a local isometry. Then  $hf^{-1}$  induces an automorphism of  $G_1$ . Since  $G_1$  is a complete group we have that  $hf^{-1}$  is a local isometry. So  $h$  is also a local isometry.  $\square$

## References

- [GNS] R. Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevich, V. Sushchansky, Automata, dynamical systems, and groups. (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 231 (2000), Din. Sist., Avtom. i Beskon. Gruppy, 134–214; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2000, no. 4 (231), 128–203
- [Lav] *Lavrenyuk Ya.* On automorphisms of local isometry groups of compact ultrametric spaces. International Journal of Algebra and Computation, 15(5-6) 2005, 1013–1024.
- [LS] *Ya.V.Lavrenyuk, V.I.Sushchansky* Automorphisms of homogeneous symmetric groups and hierarchomorphisms of rooted trees, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, N. 4 (2003), 33–49.

- [MI] *B.Majcher-Iwanow* Equivalence relations induced by some locally compact groups of homeomorphisms of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . *Colloq. Math.* 103 (2005), no. 2, 287–301.
- [Nek] *V.Nekrashevych* Self-similar groups. AMS: Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol.117, 231 pp., 2005.
- [Rub] *Rubin M.* On the reconstruction of topological spaces from their groups of homeomorphisms. *Transactions of the AMS*, Vol. 312, No 2. April 1989, 487–538.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**Y. Lavrenyuk**

Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University, Volodymyrska st. 64, 01033, Kyiv, Ukraine  
*E-Mail:* ylavrenyuk@univ.kiev.ua

Journal Algebra Discrete Math.