

A construction of dual box

Serge Ovsienko

Communicated by Yu. A. Drozd

Dedicated to the memory of V. M. Usenko

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbf{R} be a quasi-hereditary algebra, $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ its categories of good and cogood modules correspondingly. In [6] these categories were characterized as the categories of representations of some boxes $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\Delta$ and \mathcal{A}_∇ . These last are the box theory counterparts of Ringel duality ([8]). We present an implicit construction of the box \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{k} is an algebraically closed field, all algebras and categories are defined over \mathbb{k} and the word “module” means “left module”. Also we follow the notation from [6].

In the fundamental paper [2] a quasi-hereditary algebra \mathbf{R} has been characterized by two homologically dual subcategories $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ in its module category $\mathbf{R} - \text{mod}$. In [8] was observed, that these categories define an involution (*Ringel duality*) on the classes of Morita equivalence of quasi-hereditary algebras. On other hand, in [6] using the construction of [1] has been developed an alternative approach to the theory of quasi-hereditary algebras. Following [6], a finite dimensional algebra \mathbf{R} is quasi-hereditary if and only if it is Morita equivalent to the Butler-Burt algebra ([1]) of some directed box \mathcal{A} . Moreover, in this case the category $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{A} - \text{mod}$ as an exact category. This construction allows to extend many notions and theorems from the case of quasi-hereditary algebras to wider classes of algebras. In particular, in some restrictions on

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E30, 16E35.

Key words and phrases: box, derived category, differential graded category.

the box \mathcal{A} , in [6] was constructed a generalization of the Ringel duality. It leads to the notion of a dual box \mathcal{A}_∇ of a finite dimensional normal box $\mathcal{A} = (A, V)$ with a free kernel \bar{V}^1 as a box with the following property: the category $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ is equivalent to the category of representation $\mathcal{A}_\nabla - \text{mod}$ as an exact category.

In this paper, starting from the box \mathcal{A} , such that $\mathcal{A} - \text{mod}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, we give an explicit construction of the box \mathcal{B} , such that $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$.

The plan of the paper is the following. We assume the box \mathcal{A} is given by its differential graded category (DGC) $\bar{\mathcal{U}} = (A[\bar{V}], \partial)$. In the section 1 we construct DGC \mathcal{V} , which defines a completed box \mathcal{B} . The rest of the paper is devoted to the construction of an equivalence $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ and $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ (Theorem 1). In the section 2 we introduce a category $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$, which turns out to be equivalent to $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ (Lemma 1). In section 3 we construct equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ subcategory $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ in the homotopic category $K^-(\mathcal{A})$ of complexes over $\mathcal{A} - \text{mod}$. At last (Lemma 5 and Lemma 4) we construct an equivalence of the categories $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$.

1. Main construction

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, V)$ be a finite dimensional normal box with a free kernel \bar{V} , $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_A$ the category formed by all scalar morphisms in A , $\bar{\mathcal{U}} = A[\bar{V}]$ be the corresponding DGC with the differential $\partial : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{U}}$. The canonical embedding $\iota : \mathbb{L} \hookrightarrow A$ induces the following A -bimodule morphisms:

$$m_A : A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \rightarrow A; m_l : A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V}, m_r : \bar{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \rightarrow \bar{V}; \quad (1.1)$$

$$m_L : A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} (\bar{V} \otimes_A \bar{V}) \rightarrow \bar{V} \otimes_A \bar{V}, m_R : (\bar{V} \otimes_A \bar{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} A \rightarrow \bar{V}; \quad (1.2)$$

$$m_{\bar{V}} : \bar{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V} \otimes_A \bar{V}. \quad (1.3)$$

Besides denote the restriction of ∂ on A and \bar{V} by $\partial_0 : A \rightarrow \bar{V}$ and $\partial_1 : \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V} \otimes_A \bar{V}$. For finite dimensional \mathbb{L} -bimodules X, Y denote by $p_{X,Y}$ the canonical \mathbb{L} -bimodule isomorphism $p_{X,Y} : \mathbb{D}(X \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} Y) \simeq \mathbb{D}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{D}(X)$, where \mathbb{D} is the functor of duality over \mathbb{k} . Set

$$N = \{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, N_1 = \mathbb{D}A, N_0 = \mathbb{D}\bar{V}, N_{-1} = \mathbb{D}(\bar{V} \otimes_A \bar{V}); N_i = 0, i \neq 0, \pm 1.$$

Proposition 1. Let $T = \widehat{\mathbb{L}[N]}$. The \mathbb{L} -bimodule morphisms

$$d_T|_{N_1} = p_{AA} \mathbb{D}m_A, d_T|_{N_0} = -p_{A\bar{V}} \mathbb{D}m_l + p_{\bar{V}A} \mathbb{D}m_r + \mathbb{D}\partial_0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$d_T|_{N_{-1}} = p_{A\bar{V} \otimes \bar{V}} \mathbb{D}m_L + p_{\bar{V} \otimes \bar{V}A} \mathbb{D}m_R + p_{\bar{V}\bar{V}} \mathbb{D}m_{\bar{V}} + \mathbb{D}\partial_1 \quad (1.5)$$

defines on T the structure of completed DGC.

¹The proof of the uniqueness of \mathcal{A}_∇ will be published elsewhere.

Proof. The Leibniz rule and continuity allows to extend d to the \mathbb{L} -bimodule map $d : T \rightarrow T$. It leaves to prove $d^2(N) = 0$.

The structure of DGC on \bar{U} gives the DGC structure on \check{U} , $\check{U} = \bar{U} / \sum_{i \geq 3} \bar{U}_i$. We will identify \check{U} with the sum $\bar{U}_0 \oplus \bar{U}_1 \oplus \bar{U}_2$ of the components of degree 1 and 2 of \bar{U} . In turn, the DGC structure on \check{U} defines the structure of an $A(\infty)$ -category over \mathbb{L} on \check{U} ([4]). More precisely, \check{U} is endowed with a family of multiplications (m_1, m_2, \dots) , $m_i : M^{\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} i} \rightarrow M$ of degree $+1$, $m_1 = d (= d_{\check{U}})$, $m_2(u_1 \otimes u_2) = (-1)^{\deg u_1} u_1 u_2$, $m_i = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. The multiplications $m_i, i \geq 1$ should satisfy certain axioms. These axioms can be united by so called bar-construction, which endows the tensor cocategory $\mathcal{T}^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} s(\check{U})^{\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} i}$ with a \mathbb{L} -linear codifferential $\delta : \mathcal{T}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^+$, where s is the grading shift (see [4] for details). Then applying the functor of \mathbb{k} -duality \mathbb{D} we obtain on the completed precategory (i.e. category without units) $T^+ = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{D}(s(\check{U}))^{\otimes_{\mathbb{L}} i}$ the differential $\mathbb{D}(d) : T^+ \rightarrow T^+$, coinciding with the differential d_T , given by (1.4) and (1.5). Then the condition $d_T^2 = 0$ is just the dual to the condition $d^2 = 0$. \square

Following [6], [7] T defines the positively graded DGC $\mathcal{V} = T/I$, where I is the differential ideal, generated by N_{-1} . As a category \mathcal{V} is freely generated over $B = T_0/(T_0 \cap I)$ by N_1 . The corresponding completed box $\mathcal{B} = (B, W)$ is by construction normal and weakly triangular.

The main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$.

We do not prove here the uniqueness of \mathcal{A}_{∇} , since the proof uses techniques of $A(\infty)$ -categories. This fact is closely related with the question of uniqueness of a minimal exact Borel subalgebra in a class of Morita equivalence of quasi-hereditary algebras (see [5], [6]). Another issue is the generalization of Ringel duality, which needs finite dimensionality of \mathcal{A}_{∇} . The last condition often can be checked using the presented construction of \mathcal{B} . In particular, if \mathcal{A} is directed, then \mathcal{B} is directed as well.

2. A realization of representations category

Every $M \in \mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ is an object of $\mathbb{L}[\mathbb{D}\bar{V}] - \text{mod}$, hence it can be considered as a left \mathbb{L} -module $M = \{M(\mathbf{i}) | \mathbf{i} \in \text{Ob } A\}$. The structure of a $\mathbb{L}[\mathbb{D}\bar{V}]$ -module on \mathbb{L} -module M is given by a \mathbb{L} -bimodule map $s_M :$

$\mathbb{D}\bar{V} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, M)$. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}-\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}\bar{V}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, M)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(M, \bar{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} M), \quad (2.6)$$

s_M is uniquely defined by a \mathbb{L} -module homomorphism $c_M : M \rightarrow \bar{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} M$.

The $\mathbb{L}[\mathbb{D}\bar{V}]$ -module M is a B -module only if it vanishes on the relations, defined by $d_{\mathbb{L}[N]}|_{N-1}$, i.e. by (1.5),

$$s_M \mathbb{D}\partial + m_{\mathbb{L}}(s_M \otimes s_M) p_{\bar{V} \mathbb{D}\bar{V}} \mathbb{D}m_{\bar{V}} = 0.$$

Using the isomorphism (2.6), we can rewrite this condition as

$$(\partial \otimes \mathbf{1}_M) c_M + (m_{\bar{V}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_M)(\mathbf{1}_{\bar{V}} \otimes c_M) c_M = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

In this assumption M possesses a structure of B -module if and only if in M exists a full flag (a composition series over \mathbb{L}) $\{M_i \mid i = 0, \dots, n = n(M)\}$ in M , such that $s_M(\mathbb{D}\bar{V})(M_i) \subset M_{i-1}$, equivalently

$$c_M(M_i) \subset \bar{V} \otimes M_{i-1}, i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.8)$$

Let $M, N \in \mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$. Then any morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is defined by $s_f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}-\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}A, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, N))$, which, following the definition (1.4) of $d_T|_{N_0}$, should satisfy the relation ([7], ?)

$$m((s_f \otimes s_M)(p_{\bar{V}A}) \mathbb{D}m_r - (s_N \otimes s_f)(p_{A\bar{V}}) \mathbb{D}m_l) + s_M \mathbb{D}\partial = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where m is the morphisms composition in the category of \mathbb{L} -modules.

As above, by the canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}-\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{D}A, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, N)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(M, A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} N) \quad (2.10)$$

s_f corresponds to the \mathbb{L} -module morphism $c_f : M \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} N$ and the condition (2.9) can be rewritten as

$$(-(m_l \otimes \mathbf{1}_N)(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes c_N) + (\partial \otimes \mathbf{1}_N)) c_f + (m_r \otimes \mathbf{1}_N)(\mathbf{1}_{\bar{V}} \otimes c_f) c_M = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Assume morphisms $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $g : N \rightarrow S$ are given by corresponding s_f, s_g as above. Then by the definition (1.4) of $d_T|_{N_1}$ the \mathbb{L} -bimodule morphism s_{gf} , corresponding to the composition $gf : M \rightarrow S$ is just the composition

$$s_{gf} = m(s_g \otimes s_f) p_{AA} \mathbb{D}m_A. \quad (2.12)$$

If the morphism f, g from $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ are presented as $c_f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(M, A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} N)$ and $c_g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}}(N, A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} S)$, then the equality (2.12) can be rewritten as

$$c_{gf} = (m_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_S)(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes c_g) c_f. \quad (2.13)$$

Let $N(\mathcal{B})$ be a category, which objects are the triples $(M, \{M_i\}, c_M)$, where $M \in \mathbb{L} - \text{mod}$, $\{M_i\}$ is a full flag in M and a morphism c_M , satisfies (2.7), (2.8). The morphisms in $N(\mathcal{B})$ are defined as above by c_f satisfying the condition (2.11) and the composition of morphisms is defined by (2.13).

Lemma 1. The categories $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$ and $N(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent.

Proof. Define the functor $c : \mathcal{B} - \text{mod} \rightarrow N(\mathcal{B})$ as follows. If $M \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$, then for $R = \text{Rad } B$ gives us the following strictly descent chain of L -submodules

$$M \supset RM \supset R^2M \supset \dots \supset R^nM = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

for some $n \geq 1$. Then we set $c(M) = (M|_{\mathbb{L}}, c_M, \{M_i\})$, where c_M is defined above and $\{M_i\}$ is a refinement of the chain (2.14). Note, that the isoclass of $c(M)$ in $N(\mathcal{B})$ does not depend on the choice of refinement. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism from $\mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$, then we set $c(f) = c_f$. The isomorphisms (2.6) and (2.10) above show that c is a full and faithful functor. Using the same isomorphisms (2.6) and (2.10) one can define the quasi-inverse to c functor $s : N(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B} - \text{mod}$. \square

3. Category of cogood modules

Sometimes we will abuse notations and will skip $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the notation like ∂_M^i in the differential of the complex M^\bullet etc.

Let $\text{Ob } A = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the set of objects of A . Recall, that the category $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ is an extension closure of the set of costandard modules $\{\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_n\}$, ([2]). We construct some categories of complexes over \mathcal{A} equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$. Let \mathbf{R} be the right Butler-Burt algebra of \mathcal{A} , $F : \mathcal{A} - \text{mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} - \text{mod}$ the Burt-Butler functor and $D(F) : D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathbf{R})$ the induced derived functor ([6]). For any $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Ob } A$ in [6] is constructed a K_{Ω} -injective complex $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}^\bullet \in D^-(\mathcal{A})$, such that $D(F)(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}^\bullet) \simeq \nabla_{\mathbf{i}}$, in particular $D(F)$ induced an equivalence between the triangular subcategories in $D(\mathcal{A})$ and $D(\mathbf{R})$, generated by all $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}^\bullet$ and $\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}$ correspondingly, $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Ob } A$.

For us will be more convenient instead of the subcategory in $D(\mathcal{A})$, generated by $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}^\bullet$ consider the isomorphic subcategory, generated by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}^\bullet$, $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Ob } A$ ([6], Section 2). Denote $\mathbf{P}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \text{Ob } A} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}^\bullet$. Recall, that \mathbf{P}^\bullet is a positive complex and $\mathbf{P}^i = \bar{V}^i$, $i \geq 0$ ($\bar{V}^0 = A$) and $\partial_{\mathbf{P}}(\omega_{\mathbf{i}})(x) = -\partial(x)$, $\partial_{\mathbf{P}}(\varphi)(x) = \varphi x$, provided the right side is defined.

Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ be a minimal full extension closed subcategory in $D(\mathcal{A})$ containing $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ for any $\mathbf{i} \in \text{Ob } A$, i.e. for any triangle

$$X^\bullet \xrightarrow{i} Y^\bullet \xrightarrow{p} Z^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet[1] \quad (3.15)$$

from $X^\bullet, Z^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ follows $Y^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$. By construction the categories $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ are equivalent. Since \mathbf{P}_i^\bullet are K_Ω -projective, the category $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ consists of K_Ω -projective complexes, that allows us to calculate in this category the morphisms in $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ instead of $D(\mathcal{A})$.

Next we consider the category $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$, which objects are $M^\bullet \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ endowed with a filtration of the objects from $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$

$$0 = M_0^\bullet \subset M_1^\bullet \subset \cdots \subset M_{n-1}^\bullet \subset M_n^\bullet = M^\bullet, \quad (3.16)$$

such that $M_i^\bullet \simeq \text{Cone}(e_i)$ for some $e_i : \mathbf{P}_{i_i}^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow M_{i-1}^\bullet, i = 1, \dots, n, i_i \in \text{Ob } A$ (we assume zero complex also belongs to $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$). The morphisms in $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ does not depend on the filtration and are the same as in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$. The number $n = l(M^\bullet)$ we call the length of M^\bullet . Due to normality \mathcal{A} this number is correctly defined.

Lemma 2. If $N_1^\bullet \xrightarrow{f_1} N_2^\bullet \xrightarrow{f_2} N_3^\bullet$ is a sequence in $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A})$, h is a homotopy between $f_2 f_1$ and 0, then it defines the morphisms

$$g_1 = g_1(f_1, f_2, h) : \text{Cone}(f_1) \rightarrow N_3^\bullet, g_1^i = \begin{pmatrix} h^{i+1} & f_2^i \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.17)$$

$$g_2 = g_2(f_1, f_2, h) : N_1^\bullet[1] \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f_2), g_2^i = \begin{pmatrix} -f_1^{i+1} \\ h^{i+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.18)$$

such that

$$f_1[1] : N_1^\bullet[1] \xrightarrow{g_2[1]} \text{Cone}(f_2) \xrightarrow{p} N_2[1], \quad (3.19)$$

$$f_2 : N_2^\bullet \xrightarrow{i} \text{Cone}(f_1) \xrightarrow{g_1} N_3^\bullet, \quad (3.20)$$

where i and p are the canonical homomorphism.

In opposite, if g_1 (g_2) satisfies (3.19) ((3.20)), then g_1 (g_2) has a form (3.17) ((3.18)). If $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})(N_1^\bullet[1], N_3^\bullet) = 0$, then g_1 and g_2 are defined uniquely up to homotopy. Besides, there exists a canonical isomorphisms $\Phi : \text{Cone}(g_1) \simeq \text{Cone}(g_2)$.

Proof. Immediately is checked, that g_1 and g_2 are homomorphisms of complexes, satisfying (3.19) and (3.20) and the opposite statement.

In the complexes $\text{Cone}(g_1)$ and $\text{Cone}(g_2[-1])$ the i -th component equals $N_1^{i+2} \oplus N_2^{i+1} \oplus N_3^i$ and i -th differential has a matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_{N_1}^{i+2} & 0 & 0 \\ -f_1^{i+2} & -\partial_{N_2}^{i+1} & 0 \\ h^{i+2} & f_2^{i+1} & \partial_{N_3}^i \end{bmatrix},$$

that gives us the isomorphism Ψ .

We prove the uniqueness statement for g_1 , the case of g_2 is treated analogously. Consider the triangle

$$\dots \rightarrow N_1^\bullet \xrightarrow{f_1} N_2^\bullet \xrightarrow{i} \text{Cone}(f_1) \rightarrow N_1^\bullet[1] \rightarrow \dots$$

Applying $\text{K}(\mathcal{A})(_, N_3^\bullet)$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{K}(\mathcal{A})(N_1^\bullet[1], N_3^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathcal{A})(\text{Cone}(f_1), N_3^\bullet) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{K}(\mathcal{A})(N_2^\bullet, N_3^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathcal{A})(N_1^\bullet, N_3^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Since the second arrow is mono, it gives us the uniqueness of g_1 . \square

Proposition 2. The category $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$.

Proof. To prove the equivalence there is enough to check, that every object M^\bullet from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to an object N^\bullet from $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$. We prove it by induction on $l(M^\bullet)$. The base $l(M^\bullet) = 1$ is obvious.

For the induction step from n to $n+1$ assume $M^\bullet = \text{Cone}(K^\bullet[-1] \xrightarrow{f} L^\bullet)$, K^\bullet, L^\bullet are nonzero complexes in $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$, $l(M^\bullet) = n+1$. By induction we can assume $K^\bullet = \text{Cone}(f_1)$ for some $f_1 : P_i^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow N^\bullet$. Applying $\text{K}_{\mathcal{A}}(_, L^\bullet)$ to the exact triangle

$$\dots \rightarrow P_i^\bullet[-2] \xrightarrow{f_1} N^\bullet[-1] \xrightarrow{f_2} K^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow P_i^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow \dots$$

we obtain the sequence

$$\text{K}(\mathcal{A})(K^\bullet[-1], L^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{K}(\mathcal{A})(N^\bullet[-1], L^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{K}(\mathcal{A})(P_i^\bullet[-2], L^\bullet). \quad (3.21)$$

Since $\sigma\pi(f) = 0$ it gives us the sequence

$$P_i^\bullet[-2] \xrightarrow{f_1[-1]} N^\bullet[-1] \xrightarrow{f_2} L^\bullet$$

and the homotopy h between $f_2 f_1$ and 0, such that $g_1 = g_1(f_1, f_2, h) = f$. By Lemma 2 holds $M^\bullet \simeq \text{Cone}(g_2)$, $g_2 = g_2(f_1, f_2, h)$, $g_2 : P_i^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f_2)$. By induction $\text{Cone}(f_2)$ is isomorphic to some $M_1^\bullet \in \mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$, hence $M^\bullet \simeq \text{Cone}(P_i^\bullet \rightarrow M_1^\bullet)$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$. \square

For $M^\bullet \in \mathcal{N}'(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ define inductively a \mathbb{L} -submodule M in M^0 as follows: if $M^\bullet = P_i^\bullet$, then we set $M = \mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbf{1}_i$ and if $M = \text{Cone}(e)$ for $e \in \text{Com}(\mathcal{A})(P_i^\bullet, N^\bullet)$, $i \in \text{Ob } A$, $N^\bullet \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$, then set $M = \mathbb{k} \cdot \mathbf{1}_i \oplus N$. By the construction M is endowed with the canonical full \mathbb{L} -flag $\{M_i\}$, $i = 0, \dots, \dim_{\mathbb{k}} M$. Note, that there exists the canonical isomorphism of graded \mathbb{L} -bimodules $M^\bullet \simeq P^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} \text{top}(M^\bullet)$.

Denote for M^\bullet, N^\bullet by $\text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})(M^\bullet, N^\bullet)$ the space of morphisms $f : M^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$, such that $f^i : M^i \rightarrow N^i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ belongs to $A - \text{mod}$. Such morphisms form a subcategory $\text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})$ in $\text{Com}(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 3. Let $M^\bullet, N^\bullet \in \mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$, $f \in \mathcal{K}(M^\bullet, N^\bullet)$. Then there exists a unique $\bar{f} \in \text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})(M^\bullet, N^\bullet)$, such that \bar{f} is homotopic to f . In particular, the subcategory in $\mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$ of M^\bullet , such that in the definition of $\mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$ all $e_i \in \text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})$, is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}(\nabla)$. Besides, \bar{f} is uniquely defined by \bar{f}^0 .

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on the length. The base of induction is $M^\bullet = \mathcal{P}_i^\bullet$. Following Theorem 1, [6], the homotopy class of $f : \mathcal{P}_i^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ is uniquely defined by $n_f \in \text{Ker } \partial_N^0$ and the condition $f(\bar{V}) = 0$ for all i defines the unique representative \bar{f} of f in $\text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet, N^\bullet)$.

Let $e \in \text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet[-1], L^\bullet)$ be a morphism, such that $M^\bullet = \text{Cone}(e)$, $L^\bullet \in \mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$. The long exact sequence in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ obtained by applying $D(\mathcal{A})(_, N^\bullet)$ to the corresponding triangle gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet, N^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})(\text{Cone}(e), N^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \\ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})(L^\bullet, N^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet[-1], N^\bullet) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The morphism δ maps any $g \in \text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})(L^\bullet, N^\bullet)$ in $g_e : \mathcal{P}_i^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow N^\bullet$. The class of g belongs to $\text{Im } \sigma$ if and only if g_e is contractible. Recall a description of the layer $\sigma^{-1}(g)$. If $t \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$, then we can construct t by Lemma 2 using the contracting homotopy $h = h(t)$. Assume $t' \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})(\text{Cone}(e), N^\bullet)$. Then $t' \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ if and only if $\sigma(t') = g$ and $\{(t^i - t'^i) | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a homomorphism $\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$. Since any homomorphism of complexes $f : \mathcal{P}_i^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ is defined by $f^0(\omega_i)(\mathbf{1}_i)$ and $f(\bar{V})$, changing t to t' we can assume $h(\bar{V}) = 0$. By induction $\text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet, N^\bullet)$ is a set of representatives of all homotopy classes from $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet, N^\bullet)$. Then adding $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{P}_i^\bullet, N^\bullet)$ to h we obtain all representatives of the homotopy class $\sigma^{-1}(g)$. Besides, since π is a monomorphism, t is homotopic to t' , if and only if $t = t'$, hence all classes are non-homotopic. By induction assume, that e and g belongs to $\text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})$. Then by Lemma 2

$$f : \text{Cone}(e) \rightarrow N^\bullet, f^i = (h^{i+1} \quad g^i)$$

will belong to $\text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})$. If $f^0 = 0$, then by induction $g = 0$. Then $\{h^{i+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a homomorphism $\mathcal{P}^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$, such that $h^0 = 0$, hence $h = 0$ and $f = 0$. \square

Denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$ the subcategory in $\mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$, which objects for the definition (3.16) all e_i -th belongs to $\text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})$ and for $M^\bullet, N^\bullet \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)(M^\bullet, N^\bullet) = \text{Com}_A(\mathcal{A})(M^\bullet, N^\bullet) \cap \mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)(M^\bullet, N^\bullet). \quad (3.22)$$

By Lemma 3 the category $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$.

Lemma 4. Any object $M = (M, c_M, \{M_i\})$ of $N(\mathcal{A})$ defines the complex $\mathfrak{n}(M) = M^\bullet \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$ as follows ($\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{L}}$)

$$M^0 \simeq A \otimes M, \quad M^i \simeq \underbrace{\bar{V} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \bar{V}}_i \otimes M, \quad i \geq 1; \quad (3.23)$$

$$\partial_M^i(\omega_j)(x \otimes m) = -\partial(x) \otimes m + \hat{x} \otimes_A c_M(m), \quad \hat{x} = (-1)^i x, \quad (3.24)$$

$x \in \bar{V}^{\otimes i}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}), \quad m \in M$, where ∂ is the differential in \bar{U} ,

$$\partial_M^i(v)(x \otimes m) = v \otimes_A x \otimes m, \quad v \in \bar{V}(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}), \quad \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in \text{Ob } A.$$

If $M, N \in N(\mathcal{A})$, then any morphism $f \in N(\mathcal{A})(M, N)$ defines unique morphism $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{n}(f) : \mathfrak{n}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}(N)$, such that $\mathfrak{f}^0|_M = f$, which turns \mathfrak{n} into a functor $\mathfrak{n} : N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet)$.

Proof. We prove that the defined above ∂_M 's are morphisms from \mathcal{A} – mod, i.e. for any $a \in A$ holds $r = \partial_M(\omega_j a - a \omega_i + \partial(a)) = 0$, [7].

$$\begin{aligned} r(x \otimes m) &= -\partial(ax) \otimes m + ax \otimes_A c_M(x) + a\partial(x) \otimes m \\ &\quad - ax \otimes_A c_M(m) + \partial(a) \otimes x \otimes m = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the Leibniz rule. Prove that M^\bullet is a complex, i.e. $\partial_M^2 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_M^2(\omega_i)(x \otimes m) &= \partial_M(\omega_i)\partial_M(\omega_i)(x \otimes m) = \partial_M(\omega_i)(\partial(x) \otimes m + \\ &\quad \hat{x} \otimes_A c_M(m)) = \partial^2(x) \otimes m - \widehat{\partial(x)} \otimes_A c_M(m) - \partial(\hat{x}) \otimes_A c_M(m) - \\ &\quad x \otimes_A (\partial \otimes \mathbf{1}_M)c_M(m) - x \otimes_A m_V(\mathbf{1}_V \otimes c_M)c_M(m) = 0 \text{ due to (2.7).} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_M^2(\varphi)(x \otimes m) &= \partial_M(\omega_i)\partial_M(\varphi)(x \otimes m) + \partial_M(\varphi)\partial_M(\omega_j)(x \otimes m) + \\ &\quad \partial_M(\partial(\varphi))(x \otimes m) = \partial(\varphi x) \otimes m + \widehat{\varphi} \otimes_A x \otimes_A c_M(m) - \\ &\quad \varphi \otimes_A \partial(x) + \varphi \otimes_A \hat{x} \otimes_A c_M(m) + \partial(x) \otimes_A x \otimes_A m = 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to Leibniz rule.

The filtration of M^\bullet is defined by M_i^\bullet and the e_i -th from definition (3.16) are defined by the second summand in the definition of ∂_M .

To prove the statement about morphisms define $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{n}(f)$ as $\mathfrak{f}(x \otimes m) = x \otimes_A c_f(m)$. We prove, that $\mathfrak{n}(f)$ is a morphism of complexes.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{f}\partial_M - \partial_M\mathfrak{f})(x \otimes m) &= \mathfrak{f}(-d(x) \otimes m + \hat{x} \otimes_A c_M(m)) - \\ &\quad \partial_M(x \otimes_A c_f(m)) = (-d(x) \otimes_A c_f(m) + \hat{x} \otimes_A (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes c_f)c_M) - \\ &\quad (-d(x) \otimes_A c_f(m) - \hat{x} \otimes_A (\partial \otimes \mathbf{1}_M)c_M - \hat{x} \otimes_A (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes c_N)c_f(m)) = \\ &\quad \hat{x} \otimes_A ((\mathbf{1}_A \otimes c_f)c_M - (\partial \otimes \mathbf{1}_M)c_M - (\mathbf{1}_A \otimes c_N)c_f)(m) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to (2.11). □

Obviously, the image of \mathfrak{n} is a dense subcategory in $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$.

Lemma 5. Let $M^\bullet, N^\bullet \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$, $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)(M^\bullet, N^\bullet)$. Set

$$c(M^\bullet) = (M, \{M_i\}, c_M), M_i = \text{top}(M_i^\bullet), c_M|_{\text{top}(P_{i_i})} = f_i, c(f) = f^0|_M,$$

where M is considered as a \mathbb{L} -submodule in M^0 by $M \simeq \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} M \subset A \otimes_{\mathbb{L}} M \simeq M^0$. Then it gives us the functor $c : \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. c_M satisfies the condition (2.7) follows from $f_n^0(\omega_i)(\mathbb{1}_{i_n})\partial_{M_{n-1}}^0 = 0$ is equivalent to (2.7). The formula for the composition (2.13) follows from the formula of composition of morphisms of complexes. \square

Lemmas 4 and 5 gives us the following corollary and Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. $\mathfrak{n} : \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet)$ and $\mathfrak{n} : \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is mutual quasi-inverse equivalences.

References

- [1] W. B. Burt, M. C. R. Butler: *Almost split sequences for Bocses*, Canadian Math. Soc., Conference Proceedings, vol.11, 1991, 89–121.
- [2] V. Dlab, C. M. Ringel: *The module theoretical approach to quasi-hereditary algebras*, London Math. Soc., Lect. Notes Series **168**, Cambridge University Press, (1992), 200–224.
- [3] S. I. Gelfand and Yu. I. Manin: *Methods of Homological Algebra*, Springer Monographs in Math., Springer (2003).
- [4] B. Keller: *Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules and Addendum*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications **3** (2001), 1-35.
- [5] Koenig S. *Exact Borel subalgebras of quasi-hereditary algebras, I, (with an appendix by Leonard Scott)*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, **220**, (1995), 399–426.
- [6] S. A. Ovsienko: *Exact Borel Subalgebras, Ringel Duality and Generalizations of Quasi-Hereditary Algebras*, In book : Problems of calculus and algebra, Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics NAN Ukraine, 2005, **2**, n. 3, pp. - 210-224.
- [7] S. A. Ovsienko: *Bimodule and matrix problems, //* In book: Computational Methods for Representations of Groups and Algebras, Progress in Mathematics. Birkhaeuser. - 1999. - Vol. 173, pp. 325-357.
- [8] C. M. Ringel: *The category of modules with good filtrations over a quasi-hereditary algebra has almost split sequences*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, **208**, (1992) 209–223.

CONTACT INFORMATION

S. Ovsienko

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University,
Vladimirskaya 64, 252 017 Kyiv, Ukraine
E-Mail: ovsyenko@univ.kiev.ua