

## Strongly orthogonal and uniformly orthogonal many-placed operations

G. Belyavskaya, Gary L. Mullen

Communicated by A. I. Kashu

**ABSTRACT.** In [3] we have studied connection between orthogonal hypercubes and many-placed ( $d$ -ary) operations, have considered different types of orthogonality and their relationships. In this article we continue study of orthogonality of many-placed operations, considering special types of orthogonality such as strongly orthogonality and uniformly orthogonality. We introduce distinct types of strongly orthogonal sets and of uniformly orthogonal sets of  $d$ -ary operations, consider their properties and establish connections between them.

### 1. Introduction

In the article [3] it was established a connection between  $d$ -dimensional hypercubes of different types and many-placed (the same  $d$ -ary, polyadic or multary ) operations. Distinct types of orthogonality of many-placed operations (of  $d$ -dimensional hypercubes) and relationship between them were considered. In this article we continue study of orthogonality of many-placed operations, in particular, we consider special types of orthogonality such that strongly orthogonality and uniformly orthogonality. We introduce distinct types of strongly orthogonal sets and of uniformly

---

*Acknowledgment:* The research described in this article was made possible in part by Award No. MM1-3040-CH-02 of the Moldovan Research and Development Association (MRDA) and the U.S. Civilian Research & Development Foundation for the Independent States of the Former Soviet Union (CRDF).

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20N05, 20N15, 05B15.

**Key words and phrases:** hypercube, orthogonal hypercubes,  $d$ -ary operation,  $d$ -ary quasigroup, orthogonal  $d$ -ary operations, strongly orthogonal operations, uniformly orthogonal operations.

orthogonal sets of many-placed ( $d$ -ary) operations and establish connections between them. In parallel, types of orthogonality are considered for sets of polynomial  $d$ -operations over a field and some examples of such sets are given.

Note, that taking into account the connection these results with  $d$ -dimensional hypercubes and with the results of the paper [3], we use the letter  $d$  for designation of an arity and the letter  $n$  is used for designation of an order of an operation.

## 2. Necessary notions and results

We recall some notations, concepts and results which are used in the article. At first remember the following denotes and notes from [2]. By  $x_i^j$  we will denote the sequence  $x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j, i \leq j$ . If  $j < i$ , then  $x_i^j$  is the empty sequence,  $\overline{1, n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $Q$  be a finite or an infinite set,  $d \geq 1$  be a positive integer, and let  $Q^d$  denote the Cartesian power of the set  $Q$ .

A  $d$ -ary operation  $A$  (briefly, a  $d$ -operation) on a set  $Q$  is a mapping  $A : Q^d \rightarrow Q$  defined by  $A(x_1^d) \rightarrow x_{d+1}$ , and in this case we write  $A(x_1^d) = x_{d+1}$ . Thus, an 1-ary (unary) operation is simply a mapping from  $Q$  into  $Q$ .

A  $d$ -groupoid  $(Q, A)$  of order  $n$  is a set  $Q$  with one  $d$ -ary operation  $A$  defined on  $Q$ , where  $|Q| = n$ .

A  $d$ -ary quasigroup is a  $d$ -groupoid such that in the equality

$$A(x_1^d) = x_{d+1}$$

each of  $d$  elements from  $x_1^{d+1}$  uniquely defines the  $(d+1)$ -th element. Usually a quasigroup  $d$ -operation  $A$  is itself considered as a  $d$ -quasigroup.

The  $d$ -operation  $E_i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ , on  $Q$  with  $E_i(x_1^d) = x_i$  is called the  $i$ -th identity operation (or the  $i$ -th selector) of arity  $d$ .

Let  $j$  be a fixed number,  $0 \leq j \leq d-1$ ,  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ ,  $(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in Q^j$ .

By  $I_j$  we denote the set of all  $C_d^j \cdot |Q|^j, 2j$ -tuples

$$\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j})$$

when the set  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\}$  runs through over all  $C_d^j, j$ -subsets of  $\overline{1, d}$  and  $(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j})$  runs through all  $|Q|^j, j$ -tuples of elements of  $Q$ , that is

$$I_j = \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \mid \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}, (a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in Q^j\},$$

if  $j > 0$  and put  $I_0 = \emptyset$  (the empty set).

Let  $A$  be a  $d$ -ary operation,  $\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j$ . Changing  $j$  variables  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_j}$  in  $A$  on fixed elements  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}$  of  $Q$  respectively we obtain a new operation

$$A(x_1^{i_1-1}, a_{i_1}, x_{i_1+1}^{i_2-1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_j-k}^{i_j-1}, a_{i_j}, x_{i_j+1}^d) = \\ A_{\bar{a}}(x_1^{i_1-1}, x_{i_1+1}^{i_2-1}, \dots, x_{i_j-k}^{i_j-1}, x_{i_j+1}^d) = B_{\bar{a}}(y_1^{d-j}),$$

if we rename the remaining  $d - j$  variables in the following way:

$$(x_1^{i_1-1}, x_{i_1+1}^{i_2-1}, \dots, x_{i_j+1}^d) = (y_1^{i_1-1}, y_{i_1}^{i_2-1}, \dots, y_1^d) = (y_1^{d-j}).$$

Then  $B_{\bar{a}}$  is a  $(d - j)$ -ary operation, which is called *the  $(d - j)$ -ary retract (shortly, the  $(d - j)$ -retract) of  $A$* , defined by the  $2j$ -tuple  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ . If  $\bar{a} \in I_0 = \emptyset$ , then  $B_{\bar{a}} = A$ .

Recall (see [4],[5]) that for  $d \geq 2$  a  $d$ -dimensional hypercube (briefly, a  $d$ -hypercube) of order  $n$  is a  $\underbrace{n \times n \times \dots \times n}_d$  array with  $n^d$  points based

upon  $n$  distinct symbols. Such a  $d$ -hypercube has *type  $j$*  with  $0 \leq j \leq d - 1$  if, whenever any  $j$  of the  $d$  coordinates are fixed, each of the  $n$  symbols appears  $n^{d-j-1}$  times in that subarray.

A hypercube is a generalization of a *latin square*, which in the case of a square of *order  $n$* , is a  $n \times n$  array in which  $n$  distinct symbols are arranged so that each symbol occurs once in each row and each column. A latin square is a 2-dimensional hypercube of type 1.

Some  $d$ -ary algebraic operation  $A_H$  on a set  $Q$  of type  $j$  corresponds to a  $d$ -hypercube  $H$  of type  $j$  based on the set  $Q$  and conversely [3].

By Proposition 1 of [3] a  $d$ -hypercube (a  $d$ -operation  $A_H$ ) defined on a set  $Q$  of order  $n$  has type  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq d - 1$  if and only if for each  $(d - j)$ -retract  $B_{\bar{a}}(y_1^{d-j}), \bar{a} \in I_j$ , of the corresponding  $d$ -operation  $A_H$ , the equation  $B_{\bar{a}}(y_1^{d-j}) = b$  has exactly  $n^{d-j-1}$  solutions for each  $b \in Q$ .

A  $d$ -hypercube  $H$  (a  $d$ -operation  $A_H$ ) has type  $j = d - 1$  if and only if the  $d$ -operation  $A_H$  is a  $d$ -quasigroup ([3], Corollary 1).

Two  $d$ -hypercubes  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of order  $n$  are *orthogonal* if when superimposed, each of the  $n^2$  ordered pairs appears  $n^{d-2}$  times, and a set of  $t \geq 2$ ,  $d$ -hypercubes is *orthogonal* if every pair of distinct  $d$ -hypercubes is orthogonal; see [4],[5].

Two  $d$ -operations  $A$  and  $B$  of order  $n$  defined on a set  $Q$  are said to be *orthogonal* if the pair of equations  $A(x_1^d) = a$  and  $B(x_1^d) = b$  has exactly  $n^{d-2}$  solutions for any elements  $a, b \in Q$  ([3], Definition 4).

A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t\}$  of  $d$ -operations with  $t \geq 2$  is called *orthogonal* if every pair of distinct  $d$ -operations from  $\Sigma$  is orthogonal ([3], Definition 5).

Two  $d$ -hypercubes  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are orthogonal if and only if the respective  $d$ -operations  $A_{H_1}$  and  $A_{H_2}$  are orthogonal. A set of (pairwise) orthogonal  $d$ -operations corresponds to a set of (pairwise) orthogonal  $d$ -hypercubes.

In [3] this notion of orthogonality was generalized in the following way.

**Definition 1** ([3]). A  $k$ -tuple  $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , of distinct  $d$ -operations defined on a set  $Q$  of order  $n$  is called orthogonal if the system

$$\{A_i(x_1^d) = a_i\}_{i=1}^k$$

has exactly  $n^{d-k}$  solutions for each  $a_1^k \in Q^k$ .

For  $k = 1$  we say that a  $d$ -operation  $A$  is itself orthogonal. Such  $d$ -operation of order  $n$  is called *complete* (for this operation the equation  $A(x_1^d) = a$  has exactly  $n^{d-1}$  solutions for any  $a \in Q$ , that is the corresponding hypercube has type 0).

**Definition 2** ([3]). A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t\}$  of  $d$ -operations is called  $k$ -wise orthogonal,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $k \leq t$ , if every  $k$ -tuple  $\langle A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k} \rangle$  of distinct  $d$ -operations of  $\Sigma$  is orthogonal.

Each set of complete  $d$ -operations is 1-wise orthogonal.

**Theorem 1** ([3]). If a set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t\}$ ,  $t \geq k$ , of  $d$ -operations of order  $n$  defined on a set  $Q$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal with  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , then the set  $\Sigma$  is  $l$ -wise orthogonal for any  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq k$ .

**Theorem 2** ([3]). A  $d$ -operation  $A$  has type  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq d - 1$  if and only if the set  $\Sigma = \{A, E_1^d\}$  is  $(j + 1)$ -wise orthogonal.

**Corollary 1** ([3]). A  $d$ -operation of type  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq d - 1$  has type  $j_1$  for all  $j_1$ ,  $0 \leq j_1 < j$ .

In connection with this statement we can consider the maximal type  $j_{max}(A) \leq d - 1$  of a  $d$ -operation  $A$  (of a corresponding  $d$ -hypercube). Using Theorem 2 we conclude that for a  $d$ -operation  $A$ ,  $j_{max}(A)$  is the largest  $j$  from  $0, 1, \dots, d - 1$  such that the set  $\{A, E_1^d\}$  is  $(j + 1)$ -wise orthogonal. By Corollary 1 of [3]  $j_{max}(A) = d - 1$  for a  $d$ -operation  $A$  if and only if  $A$  is a  $d$ -quasigroup.

### 3. Orthogonal sets of $d$ -ary polynomial operations

Consider more detail orthogonality of a special kind of  $d$ -operations, namely, orthogonality of polynomial  $d$ -operations of the form

$$A(x_1^d) = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_dx_d$$

over a field  $GF(q)$  (such polynomials are called multilinear).

Let a set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t\}$ ,  $d \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq d$ , be a set of  $d$ -operations each of which is polynomial  $d$ -operations over a fields  $GF(q)$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x_1^d) &= a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1d}x_d, \\ A_2(x_1^d) &= a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2d}x_d, \\ &\dots \\ A_t(x_1^d) &= a_{t1}x_1 + a_{t2}x_2 + \dots + a_{td}x_d. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

And let  $A$  be the determinant of order  $t \times d$ , defined by these  $d$ -operations.

It is easy to see from Definition 2 that the following statement is valid, where a  $k$ -minor is the determinant of  $(k \times k)$ -sub-array of a determinant  $A$ .

**Proposition 1.** *A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $d \geq 2$ ,  $t \geq d$ , of polynomial  $d$ -operations of (1) is  $d$ -wise orthogonal if and only if all  $d$ -minors of the determinant  $A$ , defined by these  $d$ -operations are different from 0.*

For construction of  $d$ -wise orthogonal sets of polynomial  $d$ -operations over a field we can use a Vandermonde determinant of order  $q - 1$  with elements of a field  $GF(q)$  [6]. A Vandermonde determinant of order  $n$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq q - 1$ , is defined in the following way:

$$\Delta_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_1^2 & \dots & a_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & a_2 & a_2^2 & \dots & a_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_n & a_n^2 & \dots & a_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{n \geq i > j \geq 2} (a_i - a_j).$$

Such determinant is not equal 0 if  $a_i \neq a_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $a_i \neq 0$  for each  $i \in \overline{1, n}$ . The determinant  $\Delta_{q-1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-1})$  in this case defines an orthogonal  $(q - 1)$ -tuple of polynomial  $(q - 1)$ -operations.

In particular, if  $a$  is a primitive element (that is a generating element of multiplicative group of a field), then the determinant

$$\Delta_{q-1}(1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{q-2}) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & a & a^2 & \dots & a^{q-2} \\ 1 & a^2 & a^4 & \dots & a^{2(q-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & a^{q-2} & a^{2(q-2)} & \dots & a^{(q-2)(q-2)} \end{vmatrix}$$

is not equal 0 and defines an  $(q - 1)$ -tuple of polynomial  $(q - 1)$ -operations.

From the considered  $(q - 1)$ -tuples of  $(q - 1)$ -operations we can obtain sets  $\Sigma = \{A_1^{q-1}\}$  of  $d$ -operations for each  $d$ ,  $2 \leq d < q - 1$ , if to take  $q - 1$  of the  $d$ -operations corresponding to the first  $d$  columns of the determinant  $\Delta_{q-1}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-1})$  or  $\Delta_{q-1}(1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{q-2})$ . These sets of  $d$ -operations will be  $d$ -wise orthogonal by Proposition 4, since all  $(d \times d)$ -minors are also Vandermonde determinants different from 0.

For an illustration, consider the field  $GF(5)$  with elements 0,1,2,3,4, then the  $(q - 1)=4$ -tuple of  $(q - 1)=4$ -ary polynomial operations over  $GF(5)$  corresponding to the Vandermonde determinant  $\Delta_4(1, 2, 3, 4)$  will be the following:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x_1^4) &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4, \\ A_2(x_1^4) &= x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 + 3x_4, \\ A_3(x_1^4) &= x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + 2x_4, \\ A_4(x_1^4) &= x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 + 4x_4. \end{aligned}$$

This 4-tuple defines the 3-wise orthogonal set  $\Sigma_1 = \{B_1^4\}$  of ternary operations with  $B_1(x_1^3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ ,  $B_2(x_1^3) = x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3$ ,  $B_3(x_1^3) = x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3$ ,  $B_4(x_1^3) = x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3$  and the 2-wise orthogonal set  $\Sigma_2 = \{C_1^4\}$  of binary operations where  $C_1(x_1^2) = x_1 + x_2$ ,  $C_2(x_1^2) = x_1 + 2x_2$ ,  $C_3(x_1^2) = x_1 + 3x_2$ ,  $C_4(x_1^2) = x_1 + 4x_2$ .

Now we give one useful sufficient condition for  $k$ -wise orthogonality of a set of polynomial  $d$ -operations.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ , be a set of polynomial  $d$ -operations over a field  $GF(q)$ ,  $k$  be a fixed number,  $2 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $k \leq t$ . The set  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal if in the determinant of order  $k \times d$ , defined by each  $k$ -tuple of  $d$ -operations of  $\Sigma$  there exists at least one  $k$ -minor different from 0.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the determinant corresponding to the  $d$ -operations of  $\Sigma$  and  $\langle A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k} \rangle$  be a  $k$ -tuple of distinct  $d$ -operations from  $\Sigma$ . Let in  $k$  rows of  $A$  corresponding to this  $k$ -tuple there exists a  $k$ -minor  $\bar{A}$  (for simplicity let its  $k$  columns are the first ones) which is not equal 0:

$$\bar{A} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{i_1 1} & a_{i_1 2} & \dots & a_{i_1 k} \\ a_{i_2 1} & a_{i_2 2} & \dots & a_{i_2 k} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \\ a_{i_k 1} & a_{i_k 2} & \dots & a_{i_k k} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

Then the system of  $k$  equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_1 1}x_1 + a_{i_1 2}x_2 + \dots + a_{i_1 k}x_k &= a_1 - a_{i_1, k+1}x_{k+1} - \dots - a_{i_1 d}x_d, \\ a_{i_2 1}x_1 + a_{i_2 2}x_2 + \dots + a_{i_2 k}x_k &= a_2 - a_{i_2, k+1}x_{k+1} - \dots - a_{i_2 d}x_d, \\ &\dots \\ a_{i_k 1}x_1 + a_{i_k 2}x_2 + \dots + a_{i_k k}x_k &= a_k - a_{i_k, k+1}x_{k+1} - \dots - a_{i_k d}x_d \end{aligned}$$

has exactly one solution for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in GF(q)$  and for each of  $q^{d-k}$ ,  $(d-k)$ -tuples of values of the variables  $x_{k+1}^d$ . This means that the system

$$\{A_{i_1}(x_1^d) = a_1, A_{i_2}(x_1^d) = a_2, \dots, A_{i_k}(x_1^d) = a_k\}$$

has exactly  $q^{d-k}$  solutions. The set  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal since  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  by the condition are arbitrary distinct elements of  $\overline{1, t}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If a set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  of polynomial  $d$ -operations satisfies the condition of Proposition 2, then a set  $\overline{\Sigma} = \{B_1^s\}$  of polynomial  $s$ -operations,  $s > d$ , where*

$$B_i(x_1^s) = A_i(x_1^d) + a_{i, d+1}x_{d+1} + \dots + a_{i, s}x_s, i \in \overline{1, t},$$

with arbitrary  $a_{i, d+1}, a_{i, d+2}, \dots, a_{i, s} \in GF(q)$  is also  $k$ -wise orthogonal set.

*Proof.* In this case the same  $k$ -minors different from 0 of the determinant  $A$ , defined by  $\Sigma$ , can be used, then the corresponding system of  $k$  equations with  $s - k$  variables on the right side has a unique solution for  $q^{s-k}$  values of these variables. It means that the set  $\overline{\Sigma}$  of  $s$ -ary operations is  $k$ -wise orthogonal.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Consider the set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^4\}$  with the following polynomial 4-ary operations over a field  $GF(p)$  of a prime order  $p \geq 7$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(x_1^4) &= x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4, \\ A_2(x_1^4) &= 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + 4x_4, \\ A_3(x_1^4) &= x_1 + 3x_2 + 6x_3 + 3x_4, \\ A_4(x_1^4) &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 5x_4. \end{aligned}$$

This set of  $t=4$ , 4-operations is 3-wise orthogonal. Indeed, it easy to check that in every three rows of the determinant defined by these operations there exists 3-minor different from 0 by  $p \geq 7$ . Namely, in the triples  $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 1, 3, 4 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 2, 3, 4 \rangle$  of rows these 3-minors include the first three columns, and in the triple  $\langle 1, 2, 4 \rangle$  it is 3-minor including the first, the third and the fourth columns. Thus, by Proposition 2 the set  $\Sigma$  is 3-wise orthogonal for any  $p \geq 7$ .

From this set of four polynomial 4-operations over a field of a prime order  $p \geq 7$  by according to Corollary 2 a 3-wise orthogonal set of four polynomial  $s$ -operations over the same field can be constructed for  $s > 4$ .

#### 4. Strongly orthogonal sets of $d$ -ary operations

In [1] it was introduced the notion of a strongly orthogonal set of  $d$ -operations. Using Definition 2 we can reformulate this notion of [1] in the following way.

**Definition 3.** A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , of  $d$ -ary operations, given on a set  $Q$ , is called strongly orthogonal if the set  $\bar{\Sigma} = \{A_1^t, E_1^d\}$  is  $d$ -wise orthogonal.

Note that in the case of a strongly orthogonal set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  of  $d$ -ary operations the number  $t$  of  $d$ -operations in  $\Sigma$  can be smaller than arity  $d$ .

By Theorem 2 each  $d$ -operation  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ , of a strongly orthogonal set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  is a  $d$ -quasigroup, has type  $j_{max}(A_i) = d - 1$  and any type  $j_1$ ,  $0 \leq j_1 < d - 1$ , by Corollary 1. Moreover, a  $d$ -operation  $A$  is a  $d$ -quasigroup if and only if the set  $\Sigma = \{A\}$  is strongly orthogonal. A set of  $d$ -quasigroups by  $d > 2$ ,  $t \geq d$  can be  $d$ -wise orthogonal but not strongly orthogonal in contrast to the binary case ( $d=2$ ).

By Theorem 1 for a strongly orthogonal set  $\Sigma$  of  $d$ -operations the set  $\bar{\Sigma} = \{A_1^t, E_1^d\}$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal for any  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ .

Now we generalize the notion of Definition 3 in the following way.

**Definition 4.** Let  $k$  be a fixed number,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , of  $d$ -operations is called  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal if the set  $\bar{\Sigma} = \{A_1^t, E_1^d\}$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal.

By  $k = d$  we have Definition 3. From the definition of a  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal set and Theorem 2 it follows

**Corollary 3.** Let  $j_{max}(A)$  be the maximal type of a  $d$ -operation  $A$ . Then  $k - 1 \leq j_{max}(A_i) \leq d - 1$  for each  $d$ -operation  $A_i$  of a  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ . For every  $d$ -operation  $A_i$  of a 2-wise strongly orthogonal set  $1 \leq j_{max}(A_i) \leq d - 1$ .

From Theorem 1 it immediately follows

**Proposition 3.** A  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal set of  $d$ -operations is  $l$ -wise strongly orthogonal for each  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l < k$ .

Let  $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$  be a  $k$ -tuple of distinct  $d$ -operations. By  $\langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  we denote the  $k$ -tuple of  $(d - j)$ -retracts, defined by a  $2j$ -tuple  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ , of the  $d$ -operations  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  respectively.



**Lemma 1.** *Let  $k$  be a fixed number,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $j$  be a fixed number,  $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ ,  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\}$  be a fixed subset of  $\overline{1, d}$ . A  $k$ -tuple*

$$T = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k-j}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j} \rangle$$

*of distinct  $d$ -operations, defined on a set  $Q$ , is orthogonal if and only if the  $(k-j)$ -tuple  $\langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-j} \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  of the  $(d-j)$ -retracts of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k-j}$  respectively defined by a tuple  $\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j})$  is orthogonal for each of  $|Q|^j$  tuples  $\bar{a} \in I_j$  with the subset  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ .*

*Proof.* At first we note, that if  $k > 1, j = 0$ , then  $T = \langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$ . By  $k = 1$  we have  $j = 0$  and orthogonality of the 1-tuple  $\langle A_1 \rangle$  means that the  $d$ -operation  $A_1$  is complete. When  $j = k - 1$  we have a  $k$ -tuple  $T = \langle A_1, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_{k-1}} \rangle$  and orthogonality of  $T$  means that the  $(d - k + 1)$ -retract of  $A_1$  is complete.

Let  $T$  be an orthogonal  $k$ -tuple of  $d$ -operations of order  $n$ , then by Definition 1 the system

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_1(x_1^d) = a_1, A_2(x_1^d) = a_2, \dots, A_{k-j}(x_1^d) = a_{k-j}, \\ E_{i_1}(x_1^d) = a_{i_1}, E_{i_2}(x_1^d) = a_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j}(x_1^d) = a_{i_j}\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

has  $n^{d-k}$  solutions for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-j}, a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j} \in Q$ . From this system it follows that

$$x_{i_1} = a_{i_1}, x_{i_2} = a_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_j} = a_{i_j}$$

by the definition of the selectors. Substituting these values in  $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-j$ , we obtain the  $(d-j)$ -retracts  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-j}$  of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k-j}$  respectively defined by the tuple  $\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j$ . The  $(k-j)$ -tuple  $\langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-j} \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  is orthogonal since the system

$$\{B_1(y_1^{d-j}) = a_1, B_2(y_1^{d-j}) = a_2, \dots, B_{k-j}(y_1^{d-j}) = a_{k-j}\}$$

has  $n^{d-k} = n^{(d-j)-(k-j)}$  solutions for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-j}$  (since the  $k$ -tuple  $T$  is orthogonal). It is true for all  $(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in Q^j$  by the fixed  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ .

Converse, let each  $(k-j)$ -tuple  $\langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-j} \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  of  $(d-j)$ -retracts of  $d$ -operations  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k-j}$ , defined by a tuple

$$\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j$$

with a fixed subset  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$  for some elements  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j} \in Q$  is orthogonal. This means that the system

$$\{B_1(y_1^{d-j}) = a_1, B_2(y_1^{d-j}) = a_2, \dots, B_{k-j}(y_1^{d-j}) = a_{k-j}\}$$

has  $n^{(d-j)-(k-j)} = n^{d-k}$  solutions for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-j} \in Q$  and the system (2) has  $n^{d-k}$  solutions for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-j} \in Q$  and the fixed  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j} \in Q$ . The same we have fixing any another  $j$ -tuple  $(a'_{i_1}, a'_{i_2}, \dots, a'_{i_j}) \in Q^j$  and obtaining another  $(k-j)$ -tuple of  $(d-j)$ -retracts defined by the tuple  $\bar{a}' = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a'_{i_1}, a'_{i_2}, \dots, a'_{i_j}) \in I_j$ . Thus, the  $k$ -tuple  $T$  is orthogonal.  $\square$

Let  $k(j)$  be a fixed number,  $1 \leq k \leq d$  ( $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ ). Denote by  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t\}$  the set of the  $(d-j)$ -retracts of  $d$ -operations from a set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t\}$ , defined by a fixed tuple

$$\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k$  be a fixed number,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  of  $d$ -operations, defined on a set  $Q$ , is  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal if and only if for each  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ , if  $t \geq k$  (for each  $j$ ,  $k-t \leq j \leq k-1$ , if  $t < k$ ) and for each  $\bar{a} \in I_j$  the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t\}$  of the  $(d-j)$ -retracts of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$ , defined by  $\bar{a}$ , is  $(k-j)$ -wise orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Let a set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  be  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal, that is the set  $\bar{\Sigma} = \{A_1^t, E_1^d\}$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal by Definition 3. It means that each  $k$ -tuple

$$\langle A_{l_1}, A_{l_2}, \dots, A_{l_{k-j}}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j} \rangle$$

is orthogonal for each  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ , if  $t \geq k$  (for each  $j$ ,  $k-t \leq j \leq k-1$ , if  $t < k$ ) and for each subset  $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{k-j}\} \subseteq \overline{1, t}$ . By Lemma 1 it follows that the  $(k-j)$ -tuple  $\langle B_{l_1}, B_{l_2}, \dots, B_{l_{k-j}} \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  of the  $(d-j)$ -retracts of  $A_{l_1}, A_{l_2}, \dots, A_{l_{k-j}}$  is orthogonal for each  $\bar{a} \in I_j$  and for each  $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{k-j}\} \subseteq \overline{1, t}$ . It means that the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  is  $(k-j)$ -wise orthogonal for each  $\bar{a} \in I_j$  and for each  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$  if  $t \geq k$  (for each  $j$ ,  $k-t \leq j \leq k-1$ , if  $t < k$ ).

Converse, let each set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  of  $(d-j)$ -retracts of the  $d$ -operations from  $\Sigma$  is  $(k-j)$ -wise orthogonal for each  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ , if  $t \geq k$  (for each  $j$ ,  $k-t \leq j \leq k-1$ , if  $t < k$ ) and each  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ . Then each  $k$ -tuple

$$\langle A_{l_1}, A_{l_2}, \dots, A_{l_{k-j}}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j} \rangle$$

is orthogonal by Lemma 1 for any suitable  $j$  and any  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{k-j} \subseteq \overline{1, t}$ . It means that the set  $\bar{\Sigma} = \{A_1^t, E_1^d\}$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal and the set  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal.  $\square$

For a  $d$ -wise strongly orthogonal set according to Theorem 3 by  $k = d$  and Theorem 1 we have

**Corollary 4.** *If a set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  of  $d$ -operations is  $d$ -wise strongly orthogonal, then the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}} = \{B_1^t\}$  of the  $(d-j)$ -retracts of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$  is  $(d-j)$ -wise orthogonal (and  $j_1$ -wise orthogonal for each  $j_1, 1 \leq j_1 \leq d-j$ ) for each  $j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1$ , if  $t \geq d$  (for each  $j, d-t \leq j \leq d-1$ , if  $t < d$ ) and for each  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ .*

As it was said above, all  $d$ -operations of a strongly orthogonal set are  $d$ -quasigroups, so we shall consider only sets of polynomial  $d$ -quasigroups (in this case all mappings  $x_j \rightarrow a_{ij}x_j$  are permutations) by establishment of criterion for strongly orthogonality of a set of polynomial operations.

**Proposition 4.** *A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  of polynomial  $d$ -quasigroups,  $d \geq 2$ , with the determinant  $A$  over a field is strongly orthogonal if and only if all  $k$ -minors for each  $k, 2 \leq k \leq d$ , if  $t \leq d$  (for each  $k, 2 \leq k \leq t$ , if  $t < d$ ) of  $A$  is not equal 0.*

*Proof.* By Definition 3 and Theorem 3 a set  $\Sigma$  is strongly orthogonal if and only if for each  $j, 0 \leq j \leq d-1$ , if  $t \geq d$  (for each  $j, d-t \leq j \leq d-1$ , if  $t < d$ ) the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t\}$  of the  $(d-j)$ -retracts of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$ , defined by  $\bar{a} \in I_j$  is  $(d-j)$ -wise orthogonal. By Proposition 1 this holds by  $d-j \geq 2$  if and only if all  $(d-j)$ -minors of the determinant  $A$  are not equal 0. For  $j = d-1$  ( $d-j = 1$ ) we have the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  of 1-ary operations which are permutations in the case of  $d$ -quasigroups, so composes an 1-wise orthogonal set.  $\square$

**Example 2.** Let  $(Q, +, \cdot)$  be the field of a prime order  $p = 17$  or  $p > 19$ . Consider the polynomial ternary quasigroups

$$A_1(x_1^3) = 2x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3,$$

$$A_2(x_1^3) = 5x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3,$$

$$A_3(x_1^3) = x_1 + 6x_2 + 5x_3.$$

By Proposition 4 the set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  is strongly orthogonal, since it is easy to check that the 3-minor and all 2-minors of the respective determinant are different from 0.

Now we consider  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal sets of polynomial  $d$ -operations. At first we remind that from Theorem 3 it follows that each  $(d-k+1)$ -retract of each  $d$ -operation of  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal set is complete. Taking this into account, we shall consider only such  $d$ -operations by establishment the following sufficient condition for  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal set of polynomial  $d$ -operations.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $k$  be a fixed number,  $2 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  be a set of polynomial  $d$ -operations over a field with the determinant  $A$ . The set  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal if*

(i) *all  $(d - k + 1)$ -retracts of each  $d$ -operations of  $\Sigma$  are complete;*

(ii) *for each  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k - 2$ , if  $t \geq k$  (for each  $j$ ,  $k - t \leq j \leq k - 2$ , if  $t < k$ ) in every  $k - j$  rows of the determinant  $A$  without any  $j$  columns there exists a  $(k - j)$ -minor different from 0.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j$  and  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t\}$  be the set of the  $(d - j)$ -retracts of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$ , then the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  corresponds to the determinant  $\bar{A}$  of order  $t \times (d - j)$  which is the determinant  $A$  without fixed  $j$  columns  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j$  (by any  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}$ , since the corresponding system must be solved for any right parts of the equations). If in each  $k - j$  rows of the determinant  $\bar{A}$  there exists at least one  $(k - j)$ -minor different from 0, then by Proposition 2 the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  is  $(k - j)$ -wise orthogonal for  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k - 2$ . If  $j = k - 1$ ,  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  consists of  $(d - k + 1)$ -retracts which by (i) are complete and so 1-wise orthogonal. Thus, by Theorem 3  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal.  $\square$

**Example 3.** We shall illustrate Proposition 13 at the set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  of the following three polynomial 4-ary operations (quasi-groups):

$$A_1(x_1^4) = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4,$$

$$A_2(x_1^4) = 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + 4x_4,$$

$$A_3(x_1^4) = x_1 + 3x_2 + 6x_3 + 3x_4$$

over the field  $GF(p)$  of a prime order  $p \geq 7$ . Check by Proposition 5 that the set  $\Sigma$  is 3-wise strongly orthogonal.

In this case  $d = 4$ ,  $k = t = 3$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq 1$ . All  $(d - k + 1) = 2$ -retracts of every 4-operation of  $\Sigma$  are complete since these operations are 4-quasigroups.

If  $j = 0$ , then  $k - j = 3$  and the 3-minor in the determinant  $A$  defined by  $\Sigma$  with the first three columns is different from 0.

If  $j = 1$ , then  $k - j = 2$ . In this case it is easy to check that in  $A$  without any one of four columns, in each two rows there exists a 2-minor different from 0.

Thus, by Proposition 5 the set  $\Sigma$  is 3-wise strongly orthogonal.

## 5. Uniformly orthogonal sets of $d$ -ary operations

Two  $d$ -hypercubes,  $d \geq 2$ ,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  is called  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal if when superimposed and any  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - 2$ , coordinates are fixed, the resulting subarrays of dimension  $d - j$  are themselves orthogonal. This notion of the  $j$ -uniformly orthogonality of two  $d$ -hypercubes naturally leads to the following concept for  $d$ -operations, if we take into account that an fixation of coordinates in a hypercube  $H$  leads to a retract of the corresponding operation  $A_H$ .

**Definition 5 .** Two  $d$ -operations  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  of order  $n$  is called  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal for fixed  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - 2$ , if the pair  $(B_1, B_2)_{\bar{a}}$  of the  $(d - j)$ -retracts of operations  $A_1, A_2$  respectively, defined a tuple  $\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j$  is orthogonal (that is, by the definition, the system  $\{B_1(y_1^{d-j}) = a_1, B_2(y_1^{d-j}) = a_2\}$  has  $n^{(d-j)-2}$  solutions for all  $a_1, a_2 \in Q$  and for each tuple  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ ).

**Definition 5.** A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $t \geq 2$ , of  $d$ -operations is called (2-wise)  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal,  $0 \leq j \leq d - 2$ , if any two operations of  $\Sigma$  are  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal.

**Proposition 6.** A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  of  $d$ -operations is (2-wise)  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal if and only if the  $(2 + j)$ -tuple  $\langle A_{l_1}, A_{l_2}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j} \rangle$  is orthogonal for each subset  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$  and for all  $l_1, l_2 \in \overline{1, t}$ ,  $l_1 \neq l_2$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Definitions 5 and 6 and Lemma 1.  $\square$

Now we generalize the notion of Definitions 5 and 6 in the following way.

**Definition 6.** Let  $k$  be a fixed number,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , and  $j$  be a fixed number,  $0 \leq j \leq d - k$ . A  $k$ -tuple  $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \rangle$  of distinct  $d$ -operations is called  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal if the  $k$ -tuple  $\langle B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  of the  $(d - j)$ -retracts of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$ , defined by a tuple

$$\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j,$$

is orthogonal for each  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ .

**Definition 7.** Let  $k, j$  be fixed numbers,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - k$ . A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $t \geq k$ , of  $d$ -operations is called  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal if each  $k$ -tuple of distinct  $d$ -operations from  $\Sigma$  is  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal (the same, if the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  of the  $(d - j)$ -retracts of  $d$ -operations from  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal for any  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ ).

It is easy to see that 0-uniformly orthogonality of a  $k$ -tuple  $\langle A_1^k \rangle$  means that this  $k$ -tuple is itself orthogonal ( $I_0 = \emptyset$ ) and a  $k$ -wise 0-uniformly orthogonal set is simply  $k$ -wise orthogonal.

If  $k = d$ , then  $j=0$  and a set  $\Sigma$  is  $d$ -wise orthogonal.

In the case  $j = d - k$  we have

$$I_{d-k} = \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{d-k}; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_{d-k}})\}$$

and all  $k$ -tuples of  $(d - (d - k)) = k$ - retracts

$$\langle B_1(y_1^k), B_2(y_1^k), \dots, B_k(y_1^k) \rangle_{\bar{a}}$$

of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  are orthogonal, when  $\bar{a} \in I_{d-k}$ . Taking this into account, we obtain that if  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $t \geq k$ , of  $d$ -operations is a  $k$ -wise  $(d - k)$ -uniformly orthogonal set, then the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t\}$  of the  $k$ -retracts of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t$ , defined by  $\bar{a}$ , is  $k$ -wise orthogonal for each  $\bar{a} \in I_{d-k}$ .

By  $k=1$  we obtain an 1-wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $t \geq 1$ , of  $d$ -operations, it means that every operation  $A_i$  of  $\Sigma$  has type  $j$  and  $j \leq j_{max}(A_i) \leq d - 1$  (see Theorem 2).

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $k, j$  be fixed numbers,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - k$ . A set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $t \geq k$ , of  $d$ -operations is  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal if and only if the  $(k + j)$ -tuple ( $1 \leq k + j \leq d$ )*

$$\langle A_{s_1}, A_{s_2}, \dots, A_{s_k}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j} \rangle$$

is orthogonal for all  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \overline{1, t}$  and for all  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ .

*Proof.* Let a set  $\Sigma$  be  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal. Then by Definitions 7 and 8 each  $k$ -tuple  $\langle B_{s_1}, B_{s_2}, \dots, B_{s_k} \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  of the operations  $A_{s_1}, A_{s_2}, \dots, A_{s_k}$  from  $\Sigma$ , defined by a tuple  $\bar{a} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j; a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in I_j$ , is orthogonal for each subset  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$  and for each tuple  $(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_j}) \in Q^j$ . Now use Lemma 1.

Converse, if a  $(k + j)$ -tuple  $\langle A_{s_1}, A_{s_2}, \dots, A_{s_k}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j} \rangle$  is orthogonal for all subsets  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq \overline{1, t}$  and for all  $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ , then by Lemma 1 each  $(k + j - j) = k$ -tuple  $\langle B_{s_1}, B_{s_2}, \dots, B_{s_k} \rangle_{\bar{a}}$  of the  $(d - j)$ -retracts of  $A_{s_1}, A_{s_2}, \dots, A_{s_k}$  is orthogonal for all subsets  $S$  of  $\overline{1, t}$ , for all subsets  $I$  of  $\overline{1, d}$  and all  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ . Thus, the set  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal by Definitions 7 and 8.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Each  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal set is  $l$ -wise  $j_1$ -uniformly orthogonal for each  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , and for each  $j_1$ ,  $0 \leq j_1 \leq j$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 1 it follows that each  $(l + j_1)$ -tuple

$$\langle A_{s_1}, A_{s_2}, \dots, A_{s_l}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_{j_1}} \rangle$$

is orthogonal for all  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , for all  $j_1$ ,  $0 \leq j_1 \leq j$ , for all  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l\} \subseteq \overline{1, t}$  and for all  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{j_1}\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ . Now use Proposition 7 for the  $(l + j_1)$ -tuples.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $j_{\max}(A)$  denote the maximal type of a  $d$ -operation  $A$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - k$ ,  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$  be a  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal set of  $d$ -operations. Then*

$$j \leq j_{\max}(A_i) \leq d - 1$$

for each  $d$ -operation  $A_i$  of  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 7 and Corollary 5 it follows that  $(1 + j)$ -tuple  $\langle A_{s_1}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_j} \rangle$  is orthogonal for each  $d$ -operation  $A_{s_1} \in \Sigma$  and each

$\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ . Thus, the set  $\{A_{s_1}, E_1^d\}$  is  $(j + 1)$ -wise orthogonal and by Theorem 2 the operation  $A_{s_1}$  has at least type  $j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *For each  $d$ -operation  $A_i$  of an 1-wise  $(d - 1)$ -uniformly orthogonal set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $j_{\max}(A_i) = d - 1$ , that is  $A_i$  is a  $d$ -quasigroup.*

*Proof.* In this case  $k = 1$ ,  $j = d - 1$  and  $j_{\max}(A_i) = d - 1$  by Corollary 6. But by Corollary 1 of [3] a  $d$ -operation has type  $j = d - 1$  if and only if it is a  $d$ -quasigroup.  $\square$

For a set of polynomial  $d$ -operations over a field the following sufficient condition of  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonality can be given.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be a set of polynomial  $d$ -operations over a field  $GF(q)$  with the determinant  $A$ ,  $k, j$  be an fixed number,  $2 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - k$ ,  $k \leq t$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal if in each  $k$  rows of  $A$  without any  $j$  columns there exists  $k$ -minor different from 0.*

*Proof.* According to Definition 8 the set  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal if and only if the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$  of  $(d - j)$ -retracts of the  $d$ -operations from  $\Sigma$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal by any  $\bar{a} \in I_j$ . Now use Proposition 2 for the set  $\Sigma_{\bar{a}}$ , which corresponds to the determinant  $A$  without  $j$  columns.  $\square$

**Example 4.** Using this proposition we give an example of 3-wise 1-uniformly orthogonal set 5-ary operations over a field  $GF(q)$  with a prime  $q \geq 7$ . Let  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1(x_1^5) &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5, \\
 A_2(x_1^5) &= 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 + 4x_4 + x_5, \\
 A_3(x_1^4) &= 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 + x_4 + 2x_5, \\
 A_4(x_1^4) &= x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 + 2x_4 + 3x_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this case  $d = 5, t = 4, j = 1$ . It is easy to check that by fixation the columns with numbers 1,2,4 and 5 in the corresponding determinant  $A$  of  $\Sigma$  the 3-minors in any three rows with the first three possible columns is not equal 0. By fixation the column with number 3 in rows 1,2,3 the 3-minor in columns 1,2,5 is not equal 0, whereas for rows 1,3,4 and 2,3,4 the 3-minors in columns 1,2,4 are not equal 0.

The following theorem establishes a connection between  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal and  $l$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal sets.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $k$  be a fixed number,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . A  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal set of  $d$ -operations is  $l$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal for each  $l, 1 \leq l \leq k$ , and for each  $j, 0 \leq j \leq k - l$ .*

*Proof.* Let a set  $\Sigma = \{A_1^t\}, k \leq t$ , be  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal. Then by Definition 4 the set  $\bar{\Sigma} = \{A_1^t, E_1^d\}$  is  $k$ -wise orthogonal, so each  $k$ -tuple

$$\langle A_{s_1}, A_{s_2}, \dots, A_{s_l}, E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_{k-l}} \rangle$$

is orthogonal for all  $l, 1 \leq l \leq k$ , for each subset  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_l\} \subseteq \overline{1, t}$  and for each subset  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-l}\} \subseteq \overline{1, d}$ . By Proposition 7 the set  $\Sigma$  is  $l$ -wise  $(k - l)$ -uniformly orthogonal and by Corollary 5 is  $l$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal for each  $j, 0 \leq j < k - l$ .  $\square$

Thus, from Theorem 4 it follows that a  $k$ -wise strongly orthogonal set  $\Sigma$  is

- 1-wise 0-, 1-, ... and  $(k - 1)$ -uniformly orthogonal,
- 2-wise 0-, 1-, ... and  $(k - 2)$ -uniformly orthogonal,
- 3-wise 0-, 1-, ... and  $(k - 3)$ -uniformly orthogonal, ...,
- $(k - 2)$ -wise 0-, 1- and 2-uniformly orthogonal,
- $(k - 1)$ -wise 0- and 1-uniformly orthogonal,
- $k$ -wise 0-uniformly orthogonal.

So, for the 3-wise strongly orthogonal set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  of the 4-ary operations in Example 3 we have that  $\Sigma$  is

- 1-wise 0-, 1- and 2-uniformly orthogonal,
- 2-wise 0- and 1-uniformly orthogonal,
- 3-wise 0-uniformly orthogonal.

From Theorem 4 by  $k = d$  immediately it follows



**Corollary 8.** *A strongly orthogonal set of  $d$ -operations is  $l$ -wise  $j$ -uniformly orthogonal for each  $l$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq d$ , and for each  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - l$ .*

So, in Example 2 the strongly orthogonal set  $\Sigma = \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  of ternary operations is  
1-wise 0-,1- and 2-uniformly orthogonal,  
2-wise 0- and 1-uniformly orthogonal,  
3-wise 0-uniformly orthogonal.

### References

- [1] A.S. Bektenov and T. Yacubov, Systems of orthogonal  $n$ -ary operations (In Russian). *Izv. AN Moldavskoi SSR, Ser. fiz.-teh. i mat. nauk*, no. 3, 1974, 7–14.
- [2] V.D. Belousov,  $n$ -Ary quasigroups. Shtiintsa, Kishinev, 1972.
- [3] G. Belyavskaya, Gary L. Mullen, Orthogonal hypercubes and  $n$ -ary operations. *Quasigroups and related systems*, no.13, 2005 (to appear).
- [4] K. Kishen, On the construction of latin and hyper-graeco-latin cubes and hypercubes. *J. Ind. Soc. Agric. Statist.* 2, (1950), 20–48.
- [5] C.F. Laywine, G.L. Mullen, and G. Whittle,  $D$ -Dimensional hypercubes and the Euler and MacNeish conjectures. *Monatsh. Math.* 111 (1995), 223–238.
- [6] D.K. Faddeev, *Lectures on algebra* (In Russian). Nauka, Moscow, 1984.

### CONTACT INFORMATION

**G. Belyavskaya**

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Academy of Sciences, Academiei str. 5  
MD-2028, Chisinau, Moldova  
*E-Mail:* gbel@math.md

**G. L. Mullen**

Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University,  
University Park, PA 16802, USA  
*E-Mail:* mullen@math.psu.edu